NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | October 28, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10c

REPUBLIC OR DICTATOR? NEXT WEEK WILL TELL

France Blames Jews for War; Bars Them from Press, Offices

(See Page 8)

English Know U.S. Plans Better Than Congressmen

(Story on Page 12)

Senator Holt Charges President Prevents Probe

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ A NEW DEAL FOR THE OLD WORLD ★ WHO ARE THE APPEASERS?

Dinner Chat

Until November 5th

"JUST remember that, if you wake up the morning after election and find that 'Franklin, the Indispensible,' has been re-elected and he involves us in war, you will have four more years of it and him," writes Congressman Clare E. Hoffman of Michigan in a letter to his constituents.

"You can't do as the English did with Chamberlain — call for another election and kick him out. With all his waste, with all his extravagance, with all his desire tc meddle in foreign affairs, you re-elect him November 5 and you've got him and his New Dealers and political racketeers for four more years!

"If the American people want four more years of unemployment, of depression, of spending and waste (we have had almost eight), and, on top of it all, four years of war, it is their democratic privilege; but we should not forget that, *if we vote for it, we should take what follows without whimpering!*"

Back Copies

DEMANDS for back copies of SOCIAL JUSTICE have rapidly exhausted the stocks of several persons who volunteered to supply such requests. At the moment the editorial office secretaries have more demands for back copies of various dates than we have sources from which to supply them.

If any other persons have supplies of back numbers of the Magazine and will give your name and address to the editor, along with information as to what editions you have on hand, we will be glad to pass on the information to those desiring them.

In several cases librarians have written in to say that issues prized for reference purposes have been removed from their files, and these institutions are particularly anxious to have their file of this important National Magazine complete.

Impeachment Drive

A MARCH of Mothers on Washington to demand of the Senate the impeachment of President Roosevelt is receiving considerable promotion in some parts of the country.

All Mothers groups organized to oppose war are taking active interest in the campaign, determined to reward those courageous Congressmen and Senators who stood firmly for peace and neutrality, when everywhere about them surged the war-makers' hysteria designed to swing this nation into co-operation with Great Britain in war, exactly as was done in 1917.

The propaganda falls into the same patterns; indeed, the propagandists did not even try to get themselves a new slogan. They are using "democracy" again this time.

The groups of American Mothers organized to oppose war are not the gullibles that an older generation of women were twenty years ago.

Before these Mothers become Gold Star Mothers, they are determined that their sacrifice shall be for a graver issue than a politically-created "emergency" to hide the fact of bungling and bankruptcy in national leadership.

Stalin to Be Victor

TO THE EDITOR:

If all European nations had been given to understand that they could expect no war materials from America, not even one bullet, it is doubtful if the war would have been even started. Certainly, it would have ended before any real destruction began.

Meanwhile, Russian atheistic Communism is really winning the war, just as it won the World War. Already Stalin has "incorporated" three whole countries, and large parts of three others. And after England and Germany have knocked each other out, Russia can step in and finish the clean-up.

"Christian" nations are making the work of the devil easy!

—Bachelor of Arts

Littlestown, Pa.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly. Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.
SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.
Vol. 6 October 28, 1940 No. 18

Pete's All Set for a Halloween Party

HELLO, FOLKS:

Well, annuther week's passed 'n here I am again. After last week's 'citement, I haven't much t' tell y', either. This was one uv my dull weeks.

Course, I guess some uv y' wouldn't think so, what with all th' fussin' 'round 'n 'bout th' house —yes—we're still at it, but y' c'n get usta ennything, I've found out, 'n now this thing uv remodulin' a home is jus' one uv those things in my young life. I s'pose when we're all settuled down 'n th' kurtuns 're up 'n th' rugs 'r on th' floor insted uv being all rolled up 'n stuck in a korner 'n th' dishes aren't all over th' mantul 'n stuck enny place that wuz handy 'n th' pails uv paint 'n all sorts uv stuff that's stuck in th' garuge is all cleared away, why, I won't be abul t' stand it. I jus' know that a dose uv peace 'n quiet will just about be th' undoin' uv my nervus sistum.

Why, when th' spooks start komin' 'round on Hallowe'en I'll jus' push 'em aside disdanefully, thinkin' they're just annuther crew uv painters 'r plasterers all dressed up in their overalls.

' ' '

Speakin' uv Hallowe'en—gee, I wisht we could have a party down here in my backyard. I've gotta swell fireplace 'n lotsa room f'r a big gang. We could have a great big fire 'n an ol' black pot with some witch's brew brewin' in it (just plain drinkin' water'd do—it needn't be ennything speshul, reely) 'n we could have some one dressed all up like a swell ol-time witch with th' black dress with sleeves like bat wings 'n a black hat with a great big peek on it like a Indian's tent 'n I s'pose y' can get a face in th' ten cent store that'd do.

We gotta have a reel witchy-lookin' witch, y' know. 'N she could sit on a little stool in front uv th' fire 'n stir her pot uv majik poshun 'n tell us hair-raisin' stories. Golly, I haven't herd one f'r ages 'n ages. Then we could have all th' good things that go t' make up a party —appuls 'n sider 'n peenuts 'n popcorn 'n we could all play games 'n have lotsa fun.

I'll havta get busy 'n promot it —guess I'd better talk it over with Pal first, though. He's usta things like this, y' know, 'n c'n probubly handul th' sitchuashun a lot more diplomatukuly than I c'n.

' ' '

I s'pose y'll be thinkin' I've a mind only f'r fun 'n frolik 'n nary a thought 'bout bizness. Well, I beleeve in a littul fun now 'n then—shure—never let it be said that all work 'n no play made Pete a dull boy. But I do have my serius moments—never fear. Yep, I had one this week 'n when it came over me, I did somethin' about it. I betook myself t' th' offuce t' see what was goin' on there 'n t' take up th' bizness of checkin' over th' reports, which job was put off las' week on akount uv th' weddin' celebrashun.

Well, ev'rybuddy was busy 'n things were rollin' along smoothly. Miss Schulte got out th' books f'r me 'n I sat down 'side her t' look 'em over, 'n kompare this month's figers with th' preseedin' month. I can't reed so very well, but I could make out that th' numbers didn't look so big's th' others did 'n I'm smart enuf t' know that that's not so good.

Well, I don't know what t' do 'bout it 'xcept t' tell you folks, 'n hope that y'll do what y' can this week to bring those numbers back up t' where they were. Now just one teeny-weeny, singul solatary subskripshun from each one uv y'll do th' trick. Simple, isn't it?

'N what with times 's they are, 'n most papers bein' skared t' death t' print what's what enny more, I should think it'd be a cinch t' sell SOCIAL JUSTICE t' yer frien's, 'cause if SOCIAL JUSTICE doesn't speek right out 'n say what it thinks is right, I don't know what magazeen does.

D'y agree with me? That's fine.

' ' '

Now, y' see this littul subskripshun blank jus' below? Well, week in 'n week out it's there—'n I hope when y' can find time this week y'll fill in a name 'n address 'n send it inta th' offuce. I'm shure y'll do what y' can, 'cause y're smart enuf t' reelize that since th' broadcastin' couldn't go on, SOCIAL JUSTICE hasta carry th' responsubiluty uv teechin' th' principuls we all love t' more 'n more peepul.

Maybe if enuf good Americans 'll take these principuls t' heart 'n promote 'em ev'ry day uv their lives we might put 'em akross—who knows? It's worth tryin' for, dontcha think?

We here in th' offuce uv SOCIAL JUSTICE c'n do only one part—th' rest is up t' you, my frien's.

Well, I'll be livin' in hopes that I'll be hearin' from ya. Til then, so long—'n don't let th' goblins get ya!

—Pete

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name_____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

Well, It Has Happened Here

PEACE-TIME conscription is no longer a theory.

It is a fact.

It may be an unconstitutional fact. But any person who quibbles over the *Constitution* at this late date is confessing to be filled with Catskill cock-tails of the Rip Van Winkle period.

Singularly enough, it appears that many of the young men are pleased with the fact of regimentation.

And this pleasure is derived, possibly, because regimentation is a novelty and because the old order was too tangled in the whiskers of senility to function intelligently and adroitly.

Now that it has happened, it may be observed that both the old order of modern capitalism and the old order of political-party democracy found themselves unequal to keep pace with a world fast turning towards totalitarianism.

Mr. Roosevelt and his associates, while damning totalitarianism, admit by their actions that they favor totalitarianism for the United States — at least in a modified form.

It is months since we propounded the theory that this is not a war against Communism nor a war against Naziism.

Moreover, it is not a war of righteousness against wrongfulness, of Christianity against anti-Christianity.

Stripped of all its vile, deceptive propaganda, it is a war between two economic systems, all other things being incidental.

And the first casualty in this war — as far as the United States is concerned — has been the surrender of our American way of living — including our constitutional rights.

* * *

Now that the step has been taken it will result in the total regimentation of the citizens of the United States.

We make bold to predict that the working class in this country — despite the A. F. of L. and the C.I.O. — will be frozen to their jobs.

By this we mean that every factory worker will be considered an industrial soldier, forbidden to quit his job, forbidden to strike, forbidden to complain when a 60-hour week will be instituted, and forbidden to whine when a 50-cent hourly pay will be imposed upon him.

In the immediate future we will find the Government dictating to industry. Government will tell industry what to produce, where to produce it, leaving to industry how to produce it.

Price control of commodities, raw materials and finished goods will be established by Government order.

Financial transactions and financial institutions — including banks and stock exchanges and insurance companies — will be directed in their activities by the Government for the welfare of the citizens as individuals.

A control over consumption — including food, clothing, shelter and industrial products will make its appearance, logically flowing from this first inevitable step of peace-time conscription.

We have chosen a war economy described by some as "total defense."

This means that the total man power and woman power of the United States of America will be utilized to the full by the state for the preservation — of what?

If all this honestly could be fitted into the bounds of national defense — the defense of our *Constitution*, our rights, our homes, our religion — there might be some reason for our having taken the step.

We know, however, that we are preparing for a war which is of our making.

Since we are searching out trouble, we will find it.

Since we are setting up ourselves as defenders of the Western Hemisphere — the pity is that 90 per cent of our people do not know what the words "western hemisphere" mean — we will have our hands filled to overflowing.

* * *

The United States of America can not whip Germany, Italy and Russia in the Western Hemisphere which takes in England, part of France, Spain Portugal and Africa.

We have all we can do to take care of the Americas.

And the present leadership which has directed our affairs for the past several years has proven its inability to solve our domestic problems.

Four years from now the national dish for our present day politicians will be black crow — the same politicians who encouraged Britain to declare war against Germany knowing full well — at least they should have known as thousands of private citizens knew — that the casualties of their great mistake would be the defenseless, unprotected men and women and children of England.

When England — through the process of revolution will have broken from the British Empire and will have repudiated its Edens, Churchills and Hore-Belishas, our American politicians, perchance, will have the effrontery to tell us that the next English government is not representative of England.

These same politicians will cling to the refugee international bankers who will swarm over Washington and New York.

It is this type of person who is willing to sacrifice *Constitution*, private industry, citizens' rights and many other things to save their racket of international money making.

Index to Comment

Well, It Has Happened Here; Appeasement—Who Are the Appeasers? ... Page 3
Greater Than 27 Predecessors; Whose Tongue Slipped?; The Big Surprise ... Page 4
Some Speed; F.D.R. and Soviet Russia; The Roosevelt Jinx; Airplane Industry; Silver Bullets ... Page 5
Editorials: Mr. Roosevelt Smoked Out; The Case of Ambassador Kennedy; "M-Day" ... Page 6
Beasts or Human Beings; Nickel Baron; Family Rule ... Page 7
France and the Jews; No Jewish Vote?; Rev. Niemoeller and 104 Others; Old Europe Gone ... Page 8
Gruesome British Story; President Prevents Probe ... Page 9
British Prediction; Election Interference ... Page 12
New Deal for the Old World; In the Bag ... Page 13
Roosevelt versus Hitler; What's My Name?; Philip A. Adler ... Page 14
Must We Hitlerize?; Soldiers Do Not Vote ... Page 15
Reward Your Congressman; A Jewish State ... Page 18
Lest You Forget; Canadian Defense; More Editorial Confusion ... Page 19
Defend This? ... Page 20

Appeasement— Who Are the Appeasers?

MR. WILLKIE has challenged Candidate Roosevelt to say definitely — in plain words — if he plans war here or elsewhere.

And Mr. Roosevelt is too busy inspecting national defenses to make answer.

In retaliation for the question propounded by Wendell Willkie the Democrats yelled "appeaser."

They say that Willkie wants to come to terms with Hitler.

Quoting from a letter which appeared recently in the Hearst papers, there is a pertinent word on this old question of appeasement.

The letter, addressed to Mr. Hearst, says:

" 'Most Republicans may not realize it, but their party is the party of appeasement in the United States today'."

* * *

The writer of the letter commenting upon the above quotation taken from the acceptance speech of Henry A. Wallace, vice-presidential candidate, continues with the following remarks:

" 'Appeasement' here meant 'surrender to Hitler.'

"This astounding statement was made in the face of the incontrovertible fact that Mr. Wallace is himself a member of the ONLY PARTY — the New Deal Party — that is for 'appeasement' in the United States.

"He has been a member of an administration that has for seven years bent every effort to APPEASE a regime that is the avowed enemy of our institutions and that has plotted against us for twenty years and is plotting NOW for the overthrow of our government.

"The appeasement of and final SURRENDER to RED RUSSIA has been the only STABLE and CONSISTENT element in the foreign policy of this administration.

"Only two days before Mr. Wallace made his speech charging Mr. Willkie and the Republican Party with intentions to 'appease' Hitler, Secretary Hull was closeted with Constantine Oumansky, the Russian

(Continued on Page 4)

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

ambassador to the United States, for the purpose of further granting to Communist Russia new trade privileges.

"Our disgraceful appeasement of Stalin's government of brigands has resulted —

"1. In the renewal of a trade treaty with Soviet Russia in which that government is made a 'most favored nation.'

"2. The United States Maritime Commission has just granted charters to American ships to go to Russia loaded with war material which we have denied to Japan.

"3. The administration has intimated that Red Russia will experience no trouble in receiving merchandise which has been put on the embargo list.

"4. The first 'appeasing' act of diplomatic importance of this administration was the recognition of Soviet Russia in 1933.

"This, Mr. Wallace, is an 'appeasement' policy that is an ACTUAL FACT, not a prophecy or a surmise — SEVEN YEARS of appeasement with the first and the worst of the lawless dictatorships.

"The administration of which Mr. Wallace has become the chief ballyhooer has sought in every way to appease Soviet Russia BOTH INDUSTRIALLY AND POLITICALLY.

"So far has this pro-Communist appeasement gone in the last few years that Drew Pearson and Robert S. Allen, in a startling article in 'Look,' ask 'WILL THERE BE A U. S.-RUSSIAN ALLIANCE?'

"This appeasement is being made with a country that has NEVER kept a promise or a 'pact.'

"Soviet Russia broke its promise to us not to plot on our soil.

"It broke its 'pacts' with Poland and the Baltic states.

"Its promises, its trade treaties are part of its Trojan horse technique of lulling its 'friends' to sleep.

"The great appeaser is the ROOSEVELT ADMINISTRATION, not the Republican Party.

"So when Mr. Wallace hereafter talks of 'appeasement' his gaze should be fixed on the White House and the state department — and on 'the man who came to dinner' at the feasting board of American merchandise — Constantine Oumansky.

Sincerely,
BENJAMIN DE CASSERES."

Greater Than 27 Predecessors

THE *New York Sun* (October 5th) says that it took 27 of the 30 other Presidents of the United States 132½ years to spend as much money as Franklin D. Roosevelt has spent in eight years.

The paper further asserts that all 30 of Mr. Roosevelt's predecessors did not borrow in 144½ years as much money as F.D.R. has borrowed since 1933.

The rest of the article reads as follows:

"In the matter of increasing the national debt, President Roosevelt's predecessors had to finance the War of 1812, the Mexican War, the Civil War, the Spanish-American War and the World War, and to pay off the debts incurred during the Revolution as well. Yet with the wars and everything else in 132½ years they contracted a debt of $23-billion. Mr. Roosevelt, with no wars to finance and with only eight years to work in, outstripped this figure easily. He has added $26½-billion to the national debt.

* * *

"The comparison between the fiscal showings of President Roosevelt and his predecessors provides some astonishing revelations.

"Nearly one-third of all the money collected by the Federal Treasury in taxes in 152½ years of American history to date has been taken in under the New Deal.

"Approximately two-fifths of all the money spent by the American Government since its institution has been spent under President Roosevelt.

"Nearly three-fifths of all the deficit piled up by the Treasury throughout the nation's history has been incurred during the past eight years.

"President Roosevelt is solely responsible for 54 per cent of the $49-billion national debt that the country faces this year.

"All the Presidents of the United States up to Warren G. Harding had spent exactly $71½-billion, including the cost of the five major wars in which the American Government had participated. Mr. Roosevelt alone has spent $71 1/3-billion.

"By the end of Woodrow Wilson's administration the Federal tax collectors since 1789 had extracted a total of $41-billion from the American people. New Dealers have taken $41 1/3-billion from the national pocketbook in eight years.

"The total deficit up to the advent of Mr. Roosevelt was $20½-billion. Mr. Roosevelt, alone, has incurred a deficit of $30-billion.

"Prior to the New Deal the largest expenditure of the Federal Government for any eight-year period was under Woodrow Wilson who, with the world war on his hands, spent $47-billion during his administration. Franklin Roosevelt will spend a total of $71-billion during his eight years of peace.

"It should be noted that of this sum only $11,300,000,000 has gone for the national defense. The other $60-billion has been scattered among the regular departments and the alphabetical agencies created by the New Deal."

Whose Tongue Slipped?

NEW DEAL spokesmen keep a conscious curb on their tongues these days when they talk of military preparations, observes the *New York Daily News*.

The rough and ready rule is: "Forget there is such a nasty word as 'war.' Keep repeating the reassuring word 'defense'."

"It was the gray-haired, 73-year-old Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson who made the first slip at his press conference last week," the *News* reveals. "Out popped the phrase 'when we get into this war.'

"An alert aide leaped to the side of his elderly superior and interjected smoothly: 'What the Secretary means to say is, "if it becomes necessary for us to act in our own defense"!' "

A Big Surprise

SOON before election, President Roosevelt will spring the biggest diplomatic surprise yet launched in his international policies of non-belligerence, is the word being whispered about by the Washington "insiders."

Kept columnists, subsidized scribblers and paid preachers are now preparing the way for this great "diplomatic surprise," it is said.

Through the new Pan-American coalition established by the nations of the Americas, through dollar diplomacy and New Deal bounties, Great Britain is to receive still greater aid from the Americas, our Washington informant learns.

Mexico's Comacho, whom America aided in his election over Almazan, has promised that the United States can establish a base in the Yucatan channel and Windward Islands passage for the further protection of this hemisphere.

America will also be permitted to establish bases in the Cocos and Galapagos islands.

Uruguay and Argentina have agreed that America can establish bases in the LaPlatte estuary, provided that the nations of South America may also use these bases.

The nations of South America, in lieu of granting these bases, have been guaranteed the aid of Great Britain and the United States to counter Nazi aggression in Central and South America—thus, English commercial pressure and American dollar diplomacy have wrested these concessions from the South American nations by presenting to them the threat of Nazi invasion.

* * *

Recent news reports indicate that the Germans have seized the French air base in Dakar, Africa, and are preparing to use this base for air and naval operations against South America. Dakar has been used in peacetime as a jumping off place for aerial commerce to South America.

If Germany inaugurates a bombing air service from South Africa, the United States is prepared to take over the Dutch, English and French possessions in this hemisphere in a protective custody.

President Comacho is even willing to permit the United States to occupy Mexico's northern provinces, thus to convince other nations that Uncle Sam is ready to use a "big stick" on all who jeopardize the defense of the hemisphere by sympathetically cooperating with the totalitarians.

Comacho, like Cardenas, must pay his debt for America's co-operation in the creation and preservation of his government. Soon after the new conscripts have been brought into the service, therefore, at least three divisions of them, it is now reported, are to be dispatched to the Mexican border to "safeguard the interests of the United States."

* * *

The nations of South and Central America must aid England in this war, as they aided the Allies in the World War.

America has guaranteed England substantial naval and aerial aid in exchange for England's aid in obtaining from the countries of South and Central America concessions of air and naval bases which will supplement the insular defenses already leased by England in exchange for the now notorious 50 "decommissioned" and "obsolete" destroyers.

Again, America has been enticed into the bull ring of Europe's wars by Bank of England diplomacy.

Americans foot the bill through loans for Pan-America's gifts to England.

Comment

Some Speed

APPROXIMATELY $13-billion has been appropriated by Congress for national defense purposes.

The ballyhoo, which was "belly-hooed" by the New Deal loudspeakers, left us under the impression that this fabulous sum of money was being rapidly spent to insure the safety of the United States.

As a matter of fact, according to a recent release (October 12) only $595-million has been spent for defense in three months!

Treasury figures show that less money has been spent for arms and munitions than has been paid for W.P.A. and other welfare groups.

And what sense does all this make?

Keeping voters on welfare and on W.P.A. is a practical way of keeping votes.

Stirring up war hysteria, breeding fear (while unctuously talking against fear) is another way of frightening the electorate to retain the *status quo* of a Third Termer.

Imagine it! The fact remains that the total expenditures of the Federal Government have been smaller during the past three months than they were during the same period a year ago — before the German war machine had begun to crush Europe.

And this fact is unfolded by a statement issued by the Treasury Department for September 30th.

The statement showed that the Federal Treasury spent $123-million less during the past three months than it spent a year ago over a similar period.

The statement also shows that while the Government spent $264-million more this year than it did last year for defense purposes, the increase is so small in relation to the Government's total volume of business that it did not even cause a bulge in the Federal expenditure.

To date this year, the Government has spent a total of half a billion dollars on national defense — and a lot of this money was expended in employing every known avenue of publicity and propaganda to convince the public that national defense is going on, hell-bent for election.

Yes; that's it — *hell-bent for election!*

The President wants to get re-elected; and it appears that he is playing politics with Hitler and national defense, to get his third term.

The voting public is about to be frightened into casting their ballots to approve the gentleman who is the world's champion for building warships, factories, etc. — on paper.

When we were children we were taught that grown-up men who play with paper warships or paper dolls should be watched very carefully.

F.D.R. and Soviet Russia

HISTORIANS definitely will attribute the quality of perseverance to President Roosevelt in his courting of Soviet Russia.

Coquette-like, the Mistress of Sovietland accepts recognition from Berlin, Rome and Tokio, much to the disgust of our President.

But every time an opportunity arises, F.D.R. tries to win the wandering girl back again.

True, she's lost her religion; she favors collectivism; she spurns capitalism — and performs a lot of other acts, any one of which would be enough to win the "GO" sign from a high-charactered suitor.

Last week, however, conversations between Under-Secretary of State Sumner Welles and Constantine Oumansky, the Russian ambassador, which were begun last July, were resumed.

And these conversations led to the release for export of large quantities of machine tools to Russia and the Soviet charter of American tankers.

Specifically, the maritime commission announced that Soviet Russia will be allowed to charter approximately 100,000 tons of tankers to transport oil from California to Vladivostok.

And Col. R. L. Maxwell, defense commission export control officer, raised the bars for $7-million worth of machine tools to leave America for the Soviet Union.

All this happened when the "STOP" sign was raised for exports going to Japan.

Of course, according to New Deal philosophy, the end justifies the means. Anything to beat Germany.

Unless we guess wrong, the same thing will happen to the machine tools and oil tankers exported to Russia that happened to the airplanes exported to France.

Do you remember that incident?

The airplanes referred to found their way to Dakar, Africa, and were used to bombard the British fleet.

Nevertheless, it's all in the game of national defense, which is part of the jig-saw puzzle of international chaos.

The Roosevelt Jinx

THE FALL of Egypt to the armies of the Axis is practically assured.

Kermit Roosevelt, son of Theodore, has been sent to the Nile Valley to help the British stem the expected Italian onslaught across the Libyan desert.

Kermit, it will be remembered, left America and joined the British army in England a few weeks after the outbreak of war.

Seeing no action in Britain, he became an officer in the Finnish Army just before the latter capitulated to the Russians.

In April, he went with the British to chase the Germans out of Norway and took part in the retreat from Namsos.

In France, last May, he shared in the British evacuation of Dunkirk.

Now he is in Egypt.

It's a wonder that by now the British don't send him back to America.

Wherever Kermit goes, the British cause suffers overwhelming defeat.

Seafarers believe that certain sailors are "Jonahs" and that they bring storms and tempestuous seas to every ship they sail on. Mariners sometimes refuse to work on the same ship with these "Jonahs." In the old days they used to throw them overboard.

It seems about time that the sinking British should get rid of the Roosevelt jinx.

Airplane Industry

THERE IS gold in "them thar hills."

And there is gold in "them thar airplanes."

Recently it was disclosed that nine of the most important aircraft manufacturers in the United States had realized an average rate of return of 34.4 per cent on their investments before paying income taxes in 1939.

This information was given out by the Federal Trade Commission.

"The combined net income of the nine corporations before payment of income taxes for 1939 amounted to $45,405,826 on an average investment of $131,827,219," says the report.

"The individual rates of return ranged from a loss of 60.5 per cent for one corporation to a gain of 98.8 per cent for another. The rate of return on the average capital of $112,375,309 employed in 1938 was 24.2 per cent.

"The nine corporations, after provisions for payment of income taxes, realized a net income of $35,663,658 in 1939 and $21,872,031 in 1938. They paid cash dividends amounting to $11,361,178 for 1938 and $20,194,804 for 1939. These dividends represented returns of 10.2 and 15.4 per cent respectively to the stockholders when related to the ledger value of their equity.

"It was reported that of seven aircraft corporations whose accounting systems permitted the deduction, total net sales in 1939 amounted to $181,534,013, of which 63.15 represented foreign sales and 36.85 per cent was domestic sales."

Silver Bullets

FORMER Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain made a statement early in the war that England would win "because of the power of the British pound sterling."

He was talking in the best British tradition.

For more than a century Britain has won all of her wars with this time-tested weapon.

British plutocrats fully intended to use their "silver bullets," as they refer to their money, to win this war, too.

In this regard an interesting bit of ancient history comes to light in the form of an editorial in the *London Daily Express* of March 17—written before the inglorious retreats from Namsos, Dunkirk and Somaliland.

"Things cannot go on this way. England cannot bear the burden of war in all fields," the editorial protested. "The building up of a large army robs us of workers in our factories and fields. Thereby we devastate our industry and destroy our wealth, which is our main weapon.

"The French will have to realize that the 'silver bullets' which are made in our factories for the war efforts of our ally France are what counts. We need men to hold the financial Maginot line."

Now that the lead bullets of the French army have ceased firing, silver bullets are about the only kind of ammunition the British have left.

The next few months—or weeks—may show whether Britain's Maginot line of gold and silver is stronger than the French Maginot line of concrete and steel.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Roosevelt Smoked Out

THE NEW DEALERS are jittery.

Chairman Flynn's intemperate attack upon all newspapers — not excepting even one; Captain E. Roosevelt's appointment to a $200 a month job on the eve of the draft; President Roosevelt's re-extension of his right hand to Soviet Russia; and Wendell Willkie's latest speeches — all have contributed to upset the poise of the group of indispensables who felt so certain of their being returned to the flesh pots of Washington.

As a result, dates and places for four political speeches by President Roosevelt were announced by Edward J. Flynn, National Democratic Chairman.

Philadelphia, New York City, Washington and Cleveland will hear his promises and applaud his "on order" achievements.

To cap current speculation, Emil Hurja, the prognasticator of the Democratic triumphs in 1932 and 1936 predicts the downfall of F.D.R.

Mr. Hurja was executive director of the Democratic National Committee from 1932 to 1936. It was he who supplied the information upon which Mr. James A. Farley so accurately forecast the two Roosevelt landslides.

On October 17, Hurja said: "So great is the defection among 1936 Roosevelt voters in urban areas that if it continues, the present campaign may take on the proportions of a landslide."

Possibly, Wendell Willkie will blast at the continued and sponsored recognition of Soviet Russia on the part of the New Deal.

Possibly, he will open up against the Communists as strenuously as Mr. Roosevelt opened up against the Nazis.

The last few days of this election campaign will prove to be interesting, particularly in view of the fact that millions of mothers and wives of the draftees are said to be turning against the man whose policies are dragging the boys of America into a hemispherical war.

And do not forget that the "Western Hemisphere" takes in England, France, Spain and Africa.

The Case of Ambassador Kennedy

ONLY LAST WEEK, say Alsop and Kintner, Washington columnists reputedly close to the White House, "Ambassador Joseph P. Kennedy virtually warned the State Department, in one of his dispatches, that the British Empire was too weak and too near defeat to be of any value as an ally."

And now the *Chicago Tribune* press service informs us that Ambassador Kennedy will return to the United States "because he is not wanted by Great Britain."

"Why," asks the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, "is Mr. Kennedy kept in London, if his views are displeasing to the President?"

An answer to that is supplied by the same columnists quoted above. The theory is that the President thought Mr. Kennedy was "likely to do less harm in London than in New York."

By "harm" they mean that if Mr. Kennedy returns, he may talk, and if he talks he is going to tell the truth as he sees it as to Great Britain's poor chances for victory.

In short, the administration interventionists fear that Mr. Kennedy will throw a wrench into Mr. William Allen White's "machinery" for American intervention in the European war.

* * *

Ambassador Kennedy is not the only honest diplomat who knows that the British Empire is doomed.

He was not the only honest diplomat who knew that the French Republic of Daladier was doomed.

It is inconceivable how Mr. Roosevelt, Mr. Bullitt and the other "experters" did not know facts that were common knowledge to thousands of lay observers.

This recalls a statement by the late Senator William Borah to the effect that he had better information on what was transpiring abroad than had the State Department.

As early as 1936 the fall of France was inevitable.

As early as 1938 the fall of England was inevitable.

Hitler's success — whether you like it or dislike it — is assured, in the sense that National Socialism is going to dominate the destinies of Europe and that the international financiers, operating from the Bank of England, will be ousted from Europe.

Sometimes, one suspects that their confreres in the United States are prolonging the battle of Britain for no other reason than to see that Hitler will gain nothing but wreckage when the Britishers abandon London to the exploited English.

'M-Day'

OLD-TIME friends of Father Coughlin recollect his having broadcast on the subject of "M-Day."

It is many months since he first unfolded over the air-waves the eventualities of this Mobilization Day; and now that it is arriving, piece by piece, we suggest that our readers acquire a copy of a book entitled *"M-Day — Banking and Finance."*

It was written by Philip Woolfson and is published by the Brady Publishing Corporation of 465 Main Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

In five chapters the able author (contributing editor of the *Bankers Magazine*), points out that the bankers face a world revolution, in so far as their allotment and control of credit will depend almost entirely upon a war-minded Government in an economy where industry will be regimented and industrial workers will be militarized.

Mr. Woolfson's book unfolds a brief study of banking under Fascism, together with British banking in the war.

Indicating that we may expect in America what has transpired abroad, the author practically advises our bankers to prepare themselves for the inevitable.

It may be an obsession on our part — nevertheless, we are convinced that those who govern banking now control government.

We further think that they are willing to sacrifice the citizenry, labor unions, industry and constitutional rights to preserve their control over gold, credit and money.

Mr. Woolfson has not touched that point in his discussion. In fact, the author fails to comprehend that your local banker is like your local politician in the sense that both are dominated and controlled by the big central bosses — bosses in finance and bosses in politics.

Nor has the author theorized on what would have happened had the right to coin and regulate the value of money been exercised by the people when they still had an opportunity to exercise it.

Comment

Beasts or Human Beings.

DR. NICHOLAS MURRAY BUTLER, president of Columbia University, speaking before the faculty and student body of the New York school the other day, invited those who disagree with his Anglophile enthusiasms "to resign their faculty positions in ordinary self-respect."

The *New York Herald-Tribune* headlined the story:

"Dr. Butler Tells Columbia Staff to Accept War Policy or Resign."

P.M., the pro-Marxian afternoon tabloid, went even further: *"Support Britain or Quit Columbia, Dr. Butler Tells Faculty and Students."*

The 78-year-old educator said:

"Let there be no doubt where Columbia University stands in this war . . . between beasts and human beings."

According to the political president of Columbia, one gathers that Britishers and, we presume, those Americans who are for the empire, make up Dr. Butler's rather exclusive classification of "human beings."

According to Dr. Butler we have to designate the good people of Germany and Italy, along with the subjugated peoples of Bohemia, Poland, Norway, Netherlands, Belgium and France—together with their race kindred in this country—as "beasts." But, of course, these victims of unscrupulous leaders are every bit as human as are the voiceless people of Great Britain who have been chained to the war chariot by Dr. Butler's good friends, the ruthless directors of the Bank of England.

Fortunately the press and public did not take the "beast" statement very seriously. United States Senator Bennett Champ Clark of Missouri characterized Dr. Butler as "an old, senile reactionary, a professional propagandist, and a pothouse Republican politician."

* * *

Dr. Butler, president of Columbia for the last 38 years, is a notorious Anglophile. He is a director of the powerful Carnegie Foundation which is devoting its millions to the primary purpose of returning the United States to the *status* of a colony of the British Empire.

Dr. Butler is simply leading the vanguard of the interventionists—as the "Goebbels" of the Rothschild banking interests. His statement was designed to widen the gulf between those Americans who favor war and those of us who assert the futility of war ever settling anything justly or permanently.

Dr. Butler's action is in line with World War precedent. In 1917, he used the same czaristic tactics when he fired Professor J. McKeen Cattell from the Columbia faculty, and his student son as well, because the professor had written a letter to his Congressman opposing the idea of sending our youth to die in foreign battlefields.

When the learned historian, Prof. Charles A. Beard, protested against such arbitrary intellectual dishonesty, his resignation, too, was promptly accepted by President Butler.

* * *

The university, Dr. Butler says, recognizes the war as basically economic.

"It would not be characteristic of us as American scholars to lose our faith, our hope and our confidence in the ability of mankind to bring ultimate victory to *moral principle and the spirit of service* over the mad and cruel lust for gain and power; for that is the essential struggle underneath and behind the economic war."

In other words, the British Empire is not motived by any "cruel lust for gain and power" but is crusading for "moral principle and the spirit of service"!

Yet the good Doctor says it is an economic war. If so, where does Great Britain's "moral principle and spirit of service" enter?

Why doesn't Dr. Butler say who is directing the economic war?

On the one side we have the privately-owned Bank of England—dominated by the Rothschild interests.

On the other side, the State-directed Reichsbank—dominated by its select *clique* of financiers (the Bleichroeder-Mendelssohns, with whom the Warburgs and Kuhn-Loebs have powerful connections).

Thus, once more, as has been previously pointed out in this Magazine, the war of the world is, at base, a power contest of the titans of international finance locked in a death duel of worldwide proportions. Dictators, nations and peoples' armies are only their hapless pawns.

* * *

If "moral principles" are in the balance, the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, at least, will prefer the fountainhead of moral principles, the Vicar of Christ on earth, to the "Fuehrer" of Columbia.

In his great encyclical *"Quadragesimo Anno,"* the late pontiff, Pius XI, said:

"Free competition is dead; economic dictatorship has taken its place.

"Unbridled ambition for domination has succeeded the desire for gain; the whole economic life has become hard, cruel and relentless in a ghastly measure. Furthermore, the intermingling and scandalous confusing of the duties and offices of civil authority and of economics has produced crying evils and have gone so far as to degrade the majesty of the State.

"The State, which should be the supreme arbiter, ruling in kingly fashion far above all party contention, intent only upon justice and the common good, has become instead a slave, bound over to the service of human passion and greed.

"As regards the relations of peoples among themselves, a double stream has issued forth from this one fountainhead *on the one hand, economic nationalism or even economic imperialism; on the other, a not less noxious and detestable internationalism or international imperialism* in financial affairs, which holds that where a man's fortune is, there is his country!"

Nickel Baron

ONE OF the witnesses appearing before the Government Committee Investigating Price Control of American War Industries a week ago was none other than Lord Melchett of England.

To those who ask the question: "What has a titled Britisher got to do with American war industries?" — suffice it to say that such men as Lord Melchett, whose family name is Sir Henry Mond, has much more to do with this subject than tens of millions of Americans and thousands of American industrialists.

Sir Henry is chairman of Britain's vast Imperial Chemical Industries, Ltd., in addition to being a director of Barclay's Bank and the International Nickel Co. of Canada, Ltd.

The latter company holds a near monopoly over the supply and production of the Western World's nickel.

To inquire about the supply and prices of this material, the American Government must appeal in all humility for the testimony and good graces of Lord Melchett, who is often called the "Nickel Baron."

Although the Western Hemisphere has great deposits of nickel and ample facilities for its refining, nickel mines and refineries are mainly in the hands of such foreigners as Lord Melchett.

International finance can only exist in what, so optimistically, are called "democracies."

Lord Melchett, by the way, is no ordinary Britisher.

A member of the Church of England for many years, he reverted to Judaism in 1933 when Hitler started persecuting the "Rothschild Jews."

Family Rule

THE NEW "Reich" in New York State is almost exclusively a private enterprise of the Lehmann family.

Herbert Lehmann, as governor, is in control of the executive branch of the state government.

His brother, Irving, was recently appointed Chief Justice of the state Supreme Court.

And a third brother, Robert, is guiding genius of that international financial octopus, Lehmann Brothers.

Recently, Gov. Lehmann set up a so-called anti-Fifth Column branch of the government directly under his own supervision.

This body has the power to subpeona witnesses, use police power to extract confessions from suspects, and sentence offenders to jail terms.

The Governor announced that his investigating body would be confined entirely to subversive elements, but it was obvious that "subversive" would include all those who happen not to like the rule of the Lehmann family.

* * *

And now the newly-devised Home Guard, which is to replace the New York National Guard, absent on a year's training, and which, eventually, is to consist of 75,000 men, has been placed under the command of Governor Lehmann's Jewish co-racial, William Ottmann.

Although he may not have the widest possible knowledge of military tactics, Major General Ottmann has learned all the intricacies of that Lehmann specialty, high finance.

He is a graduate not of West Point, but of City College.

At present he is chairman of the board of the United States Printing and Lithographic Company and vice president of the U. S. Playing Card Company.

* * *

Meanwhile, thanks to brother Herbert's state-wide speaking tours on behalf of aid to Britain, the Lehmann coffers are making out quite well, thank you.

Governor Herbert makes the hysterical, war-mongering speeches and brother Robert, through the medium of his banking company's subsidiary, Lehmann Corp., cashes in.

Within the past thirty days or so, Lehmann Corp., one of the largest investment trusts in America, is reported to have bought huge blocks of stock in war industries.

Among the Lehmann Corporation's new purchases are listed 10,000 shares of Bethlehem Steel; 6,000 shares of Republic Steel; 19,000 shares of United States Steel; and 4,000 shares of Youngstown Sheet and Tube.

All of these concerns, of course, are doing a great business in the sale of metal to munitions firms both in this country and Britain.

Comment

France and the Jews

FOLLOWING the assembling of a high court to inquire into the causes of war and disaster, the French government startled the world by its recent decision relative to Jews.

According to an Associated Press dispatch of October 17th, we read the following:

"The government of Marshal Petain announced tonight that, while the 'persons and property' of Jews are to be respected, they will be prevented henceforth from holding 'important places in the social order' of France.

"The government announced approval of a statute regulating the place of Jews in the national life which is to be published shortly.

"The announcement said that Jews contributed 'in no small degree' to France's defeat and that 'experience has shown to all impartial minds that Jews have exercised an individualistic tendency bordering on anarchy.'

"It added that Jews would not be permitted to participate 'in the formation of news' (newspaper work)."

* * *

One understands how binding are the ties of blood, race and religion.

Nevertheless, there is the incident of nationality which bids a man render to Caesar the things that are Caesar's even at the expense of sacrificing the things appertaining to blood and race — if not religion.

Unfortunately, if Jews considered Judaism a religion, they have made their race their religion.

Too often, representative Jews have publicized the assertion that Jews are a Messianic people.

Too often, leaders of the Jews have clamored for world-wide cohesion on the part of Jews, at the expense of loyalty to the nation under whose flag they live.

And, too often, have many Jews of prominence refrained from condemning thousands of Jews who became followers of Communism and disbelievers — at least in practice — of the Mosiac law.

* * *

The world-wide question concerning the Jews, probably will never be settled.

Ringing down the centuries will go the echo of *"May His blood be upon us and upon our children!"*

Originally the Jews were the chosen race — even when they stoned the prophets.

Then came the time when the Jewish Sanhedrin — the most representative body of Jews as religionists and racialists — condemned Christ to death in the early hours of Good Friday morning.

Eventually, came Pentecost when Jewish-born apostles, converted to Christianity, began preaching the Gospel to every creature on the theory that no longer was there a chosen people; for they alone were chosen who accepted Christ, the Son of God.

Christianity, of course, takes its root in Judaism, the religion.

But just as the fruit of the tree is substantially different from the root of the tree, so does Christianity differ from the root whence it sprang.

These and other observations indicate how complex is the Judaic question — the question concerning those who at one and the same time are racialists and religionists.

It is a question that will not be settled. Probably until the end of time millions of Jews will refuse to accept Christianity.

Probably until the end of time millions of Jews will feel the lash of persecution, not because so-called Christians are better than Jews but because the penalty resulting from Good Friday will be paid in instalments until the crack of doom.

No Jewish Vote?

CONFUSION among Jews themselves as to whether they are a race, a religion, or a nation, is discussed by George E. Sokolsky in the *New York Sun*. He says:

"The Jews are not a race or a nation or a nationality. They're a religion, a faith. Perhaps 2,000 years ago or more, it might have been said of them that they were a nation, and perhaps 3,000 or more years ago, they might have been a distinctive race. But in modern times, they are a religion, followers of a faith, believers in a God whom they worship. That is all that survives of the Jews.

* * *

"But the trouble is . . . that lots of them don't realize what they themselves are. Some Jews still think of the Jews as a race. And some of them think of themselves as a nation and they wonder why no country wants a nation within a nation.

"When I talk American politics, I do it as an American. I don't want a single person to think that I am for or against Roosevelt or Willkie because I'm a Jew. That's my religion, the same as if I were a Catholic or a Protestant. That's the way I worship my God and that has to do with my ideals of life and my ethical code and the way I want to bring up my children . . .

"Consider the case of Governor Lehman of New York. He's a fine man and a philanthropist and all that, and Jews are proud of him and his accomplishments. But when he uses his influence to scare Jews into voting for Roosevelt, I think he degrades himself and his people. And what's more, he is laying the foundation for lots of trouble for all of us some day.

"There's no Jewish vote in America. We don't vote in blocks like dummies. We're American citizens and each one votes his own way and nobody can sell him down the river. The man who says he can deliver a Jewish vote in this country is a liar. And I don't care what his name is."

Old Europe Gone

THERE is an American organization known as the Foreign Policy Association.

Vera Micheles Dean is the research director of the association.

Speaking recently in Washington before a select audience, she discussed the subject of Europe under Nazi rule.

She indicated that there can be no return to the 1939 status in Europe.

Said she:

"Behind the facade of Nazi rule fundamental changes are taking place in Europe. Millions of people on the continent, no matter how much they may resent the Nazis, at the same time feel the need for new leaders and a new order. It will not be enough, in case of a British victory or stalemate, to restore the order of things which existed at the outbreak of war and which contained the seeds of conflict and revolution."

Vera Dean pointed out that Germany has "taken far-reaching measures to achieve both short-term and long-term objectives" and reviewed the upheaval that has taken place in the economic life of conquered countries. Some observers believe, she said, that drastic measures taken to date indicate that "the Germans intend to bleed France white, and thus prevent economic recovery."

"In planning for an European reorganization which might offer an alternative to Naziism," declared Mrs. Dean, "the Western powers will have to bear in mind three major considerations:

"That 80-million Germans on the Continent are bound to play a major part in the future development of Europe, and can neither be permanently suppressed nor exterminated; that Britain and the United States, if they wish to participate in Europe's affairs, must accept responsibilities, as well as advantages, on that continent; and that victory over Naziism can be achieved only if the Western powers, instead of attempting to check revolutionary political and economic changes all over the world, take the initiative out of the hands of the Nazis by formulating and actively advancing their own program of fundamental reforms."

* * *

SOCIAL JUSTICE concurs with this erudite researcher — that is, up to a point.

We agree that there can be no return to the Europe of 1939.

Balance-of-powerism is through, in so

(Continued on Page 9)

Niemoeller— and 104 Others

MUCH ADO was made in the press about the arrest of Reverend Niemoeller by the German government.

In fact, James Roosevelt is busy at the moment distributing the film play *"Pastor Hall"* based on Reverend Niemoeller's misfortune.

As a result of this incident Germany was pictured as being hostile to religion.

But what about Great Britain?

According to the *Southern Cross* of Capetown, South Africa "has interned 63 missionary priests and 41 Brothers, despite the announcement that such would not happen when Germany and England went to war.

"Archbishop Gijlswijk, O. P., and Bishop David O'Leary, O.M.I., of the Transvaal Vicariate, say the missionaries interned have no complaints to make about their treatment in the concentration camps, but — the orphaned missions go begging for care."

"The land of Boniface must have done well and handsomely for the Capetown region to give it 104 such men, while the empire isle concentrated rather on diamond hunting expeditions," says the *Catholic Herald Citizen.*

Comment

(Continued from Page 8)

far as the totalitarians have all the power.

Economic brigandage is through, in so far as some countries, in the past, were deprived of necessary imports unless these said countries signed up diplomatically and militaristically with Great Britain, who controlled them.

Democracy — in its modern form — is through, in so far as, in the past, it was nothing more than a deception practiced upon the people by a group of entrenched politicians who, instead of serving the people, served a clique of international money grabbers.

While Christian social justice — the only positive policy which we uphold — refrains from extending its benediction to international capitalism, it does not necessarily extend its praise either to Naziism, Communism, Fascism or New Dealism, none of which can transform this world from its twentieth century barbarism into a cultured habitation for man.

Possibly the new system which will dominate Europe will flourish for a few years, as did international capitalism.

Eventually, it will deteriorate and vanish in the throes of war or revolution, unless it cancels much of the materialism which now characterizes it and adopts many of the neglected Christian principles pertinent to government, economy, finance and industry.

* * *

Pertinent to the change now taking place in Europe is the attitude of the new French government towards the Christian church.

As all informed persons know, the government of France, which ceased to function with the surrender of France, was hostile to Christianity in many respects.

It was a government dominated by secret societies and irreligionists.

It was a government which excluded God from the public schools.

It was a government which confiscated Church property.

It was supposedly a democratic government where a small group of exploiters gained control of the spiritual and material destiny of the Eldest Daughter of the Church.

And finally, it was a government which brought disgrace to the very word "democracy."

On October 15th, the new French government, functioning under Marshal Petain at Vichy, made restitution to the Church of the property surrounding the famous pilgrimage grotto at Lourdes.

Under the old government, the Church and State had been separated, and the Bishop of Lourdes merely retained the use of the property appertaining to the famous grotto by reason of a yearly lease.

What happened at Lourdes is happening all over France.

In other words, Christianity is being freed of the shackles which impeded its progress.

The small, well-organized minority who held Christianity captive has been dissipated.

What happened in France will happen, we hope, throughout the entirety of Europe.

And, by the way, the Churchill government has not yet restored the property to the Church which was stolen from it by the predecessors in office of King George VI.

Gruesome British Story

HERBERT MORRISON, British Home Secretary and Minister of Home Security, in an official speech delivered in the House of Commons, informed the downtrodden English civilians that his government could not provide deep shelter for all of them.

Edward Angly, London correspondent of the New York *Herald Tribune*, quotes Mr. Morrison as saying:

"We either go through with it or surrender. We are not going to surrender, because surrender would mean something for many years much more terrible than the experience through which we are now going."

Admitting that Britain went into the war unprepared to defend England against airplane attack, Mr. Morrison promised the subway dwellers that 750,000 bunks had been ordered by the government to be delivered next week.

It is great to have bunks "on order."

It is greater to have them "on hand."

And Mr. Morrison speaks of peace in the following words. Said he:

"I agree with what Sir Walter Citrine said to the Trades Union Congress yesterday, that if complete immunity was sought, the wisest course for us was to sue for peace now. That is a brutal fact. This is a horrible experience we are going through. The man who is responsible for bringing this curse upon our country and to Europe has brought great horrors, but we knew what we were facing when we entered the war. We knew its possibilities. We are experiencing as civilians what the soldiers experienced in the last war, when the civilians cheered them and urged them on."

No one will argue with this eminent official at this moment.

No one will recall that his predecessors in the British Cabinet were responsible for formulating and executing the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.*

No one will remind him that his predecessors in office were partly responsible for extending loans to Germany for re-armament, while they kept England disarmed.

And no one will try to draw a picture for the American pro-Britishers of the unspeakable sufferings being endured by the men and women and children of downtrodden England — downtrodden not only by Hitler but by the British imperialists.

Changing Horses

BIG LEAGUE baseball managers usually don't hold their jobs very long if they practice the theory: "Don't change horses in the middle of a stream."

That's the principle of New Dealers' arguments for re-election of President Roosevelt.

Visualize a pitcher who fills the bases on walks, throws a couple home run balls, then loads the bases again. The score is tied. It's the first of the ninth. The weary flinger has lost all his "stuff." The top of the opposing team's batting order is coming up.

Will the manager jerk the faltering hurler and send in a relief man or will he balk at "changing horses in the middle of a stream"?

Three guesses.

Says President Prevents Probe

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT has deliberately sabotaged Congressional efforts to investigate un-American British propaganda in this country, which is designed to drag the United States into the European war.

The New Deal President also looks favorably upon the "Union Now" movement — the British scheme for union of Great Britain and America.

These charges were made on the floor of the United States Senate recently by Senator Rush D. Holt of West Virginia.

* * *

Senator Holt pointed out that Englishmen, on the payroll of English institutions and corporations, are in America trying to drive the United States to war, but that nothing is done to curb their un-American activities.

"Why is not a word said about it?" the Senator asked. "Other Senators know why, and I know why. It is because there is pressure brought from the higher officials in this land not to allow an exposure of British propaganda in America."

Mentioning Sir George Paish and Noel Coward as two prize examples of British "missionaries," Holt said no one interferes with their work.

Paish recently admitted to Senator Burton K. Wheeler of Montana that his objective was to draw the United States into war. Coward, a British playwright, has *entree* to America's "best" social circles. There, said Holt, he "does a wonderful job in behalf of involvement of the United States in the quarrels of Great Britain."

Yet the Department of Justice does nothing to hinder his activities or the activities of any other British propagandist.

"There has been no investigation of that," said Holt. "Why? *Because the President of the United States will not allow an investigation!*"

Holt further asserted that J. Edgar Hoover, director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, is aware of these orders from "higher-up."

He cited a newspaper interview in which Hoover is alleged to have told a reporter, regarding British propaganda: "Yes; we know it exists, but what?"

The Senator said this was an admission that Hoover is not permitted to expose it.

Holt pointed out that a Senate resolution to investigate all foreign propaganda has been buried in committee for more than a year.

By thus refusing to curb British warmongers in this country, the Administration has promoted a "crisis" which is being exploited politically, the Senator declared.

Holt told the Senate of the plans of Cecil Rhodes, British multimillionaire founder of the Rhodes Scholarship, for amalgamating the United States into the British Empire. By one of the terms of his will a society was established to aid in furthering the scheme. One of the methods was "educating" Americans to the British point of view. The Rhodes Scholarship fund is doing this admirably.

Lord Lothian, British ambassador to the United States, has been secretary of the Rhodes Scholarship organization since 1925, Holt asserted. Among Lothian's close friends is Dr. Frank Ayedelotte, president of Swarthmore College, and one of the sponsors of William Allen White's *Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies.*

ONE MORE WEEK FOR $3,000.00

LAST CHANCE COMING UP TO STRIKE FOR DOUBLED PRIZES

QUESTIONS FOR THIS WEEK

QUESTION No. 7

Name One President of the United States Who Was Impeached.

1. President Van Buren.
2. President Jackson.
3. President Madison.
4. President Taylor.
5. President Johnson.
6. President Garfield.
7. President Buchanan.
8. President Pierce.

QUESTION No. 8

For What Was Carrie Nation Famous?

1. For designing the Stars and Stripes.
2. For founding the Red Cross.
3. For founding Christian Science as a cult.
4. For opposing with personal violence the liquor traffic.
5. For promoting the cause of woman suffrage.
6. She was the first woman to sit as a member of Congress.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 7 (For Question No. 7 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 8 (For Question No. 8 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR SELF OR FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 7 (For Question No. 7 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 8 (For Question No. 8 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

BEGIN HERE WITH No. 1 AND No. 2

QUESTION No. 1

Why Did the Pilgrims Migrate From England to New England?

1. To found Catholic colonies in the New World.
2. To aid British international trade.
3. They were political rebels against the English king.
4. To escape from religious persecution in England.
5. To establish the freedom of democracy in America.
6. To prospect for gold.

QUESTION No. 2

Which Was the Decisive and Terminating Battle of the Revolutionary War?

1. Yorktown.
2. Saratoga.
3. Bunker Hill
4. Camden.
5. Brandywine.
6. Long Island.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 1 (For Question No. 1 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 2 (For Question No. 2 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR FRIEND OR RELATIVE

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 1 (For Question No. 1 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 2 (For Question No. 2 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

QUESTION No. 3

What Foreign Nation Rendered the Greatest Measure of Aid to the Colonies in Their Battle for Independence?

1. Russia.
2. Italy.
3. Prussia.
4. France.
5. Holland.
6. Belgium.

QUESTION No. 4

To Which Political Party Did George Washington Belong?

1. Republican.
2. Whig.
3. Federalist.
4. Democratic.
5. Know-Nothing.
6. Tory.
7. United Empire Loyalist.
8. Socialist.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 3 (For Question No. 3 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 4 (For Question No. 4 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR SELF OR FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 3 (For Question No. 3 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 4 (For Question No. 4 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

QUESTION No. 5

Which President Made Construction of the Panama Canal by the United States Possible?

1. President Arthur.
2. President Cleveland.
3. President McKinley.
4. President Theodore Roosevelt.
5. President Benjamin Harrison.
6. President Taft.
7. President Harding.
8. President Coolidge.

QUESTION No. 6

What Is the Meaning of the Expression "The Solid South"?

1. That the Southern States are purely agricultural.
2. That the Southern States were solid in their support of the Confederacy.
3. That the Southern States are traditionally Democratic in politics since the Civil War.
4. That the Southerners have always favored secession from the Union.
5. That the Southern States are solidly Anglo-Saxon.
6. That the Southern States are solidly Protestant in religion.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 5 (For Question No. 5 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 6 (For Question No. 6 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR SELF OR FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail With 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 5 (For Question No. 5 I select Answer No. ☐) No. 6 (For Question No. 6 I select Answer No. ☐)

Name_____

Address_____

Town or City_____ State_____

It Pays to Be Early in a 'Social Justice' Contest Others Have 'Cleaned Up' for Being Prompt

THE TIME is almost here.

The time—the very hour—when it will be TOO LATE for you to enter the great "AMERICA FIRST" Contest and strike for the winning of a DOUBLED PRIZE.

One week from now we will be passing into the FIFTH WEEK of the contest. And, in order to qualify for a DOUBLED PRIZE, you must become a contestant during the first FIVE WEEKS.

Advantages for early entrance into SOCIAL JUSTICE contests are very great. In our last contest, Mrs. Mary McCabe, of Long Island, won FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS IN CASH instead of $2,500.00, just because she entered during the first five weeks.

In our last contest, Mrs. R. O. O'Connor and Mr. Peter Farrell won $2,200.00 each, instead of $1,100.00, just because they entered the contest during the first FIVE WEEKS.

These people could have delayed, just as perhaps you are now delaying, and their prize money in the hour of their victory would have been only one-half what they actually received.

Take those fortunate men and women as your patterns in this great *"AMERICA FIRST" Contest*—and enter now, while you still can qualify for a DOUBLED PRIZE. Remember, the first six cash prizes in this competition are DOUBLED for winners who enter during the first five weeks.

American history and the *Constitution of the United States!* You should know these subjects. One is the story of our country. The other is the fundamental, written law of our country.

Every true American should be conversant with both the history of the United States of America and its *Constitution*. SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine is fostering this great mental test for the twofold purpose of (1) increasing knowledge of our beloved country in the minds of its readers, and (2) aiding in the spread of social justice principles in America.

Besides increasing YOUR knowledge of your country and also aiding in widening the scope of this magazine, you are getting in line for your share of $8,400.00 in cash to go to 260 readers, if the winners of the first six cash prizes enter during the first FIVE WEEKS.

It requires no special education to win the Grand Prize or any of the other cash awards in this great contest—just a fairly good knowledge of the United States, its history and its *Constitution*.

Young person or adult. School pupil, university student or person out in the world, your opportunity to win is equal to that of any other contestant.

Only — if you are striking for a DOUBLED PRIZE — you must enter now.

On this page you will find the first eight questions of the contest. They are based on history. Set down your answers to these eight questions on the proper coupon and send them in with your remittance of 40 cents.

That starts you. The result—in just a few weeks—could be for you, $3,000.00, $1,600.00, $1,000.00, $600.00, $400.00, $200.00, or any one of 254 additional cash awards.

Worth going after, isn't it?

Rules of the Contest

1. The *"AMERICA FIRST" Contest* is open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, except employees or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions on the history of the United States and the *Constitution* of the United States and shall run for 11 consecutive weeks beginning the week of October 7. Two questions each week for 10 weeks and all 50 questions on the 11th week.

3. A Grand Prize of $1,500.00 will be awarded the contestant returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions and otherwise complying with the rules. Contestants submitting the next most nearly correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers, or a total of $2.50 with the 50 answers. For these remittances the contestant will be sent without further cost a handsomely illuminated copy of the *Constitution* suitable for wall decoration.

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names and addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decisions of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties, additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do not decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of the contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the illuminated copy of the *Constitution* referred to in Rule 4.

260 of 'Em

		If You Enter Early
GRAND PRIZE	$1,500.00	$3,000.00
Second Prize	800.00	1,600.00
Third Prize	500.00	1,000.00
Fourth Prize	300.00	600.00
Fifth Prize	200.00	400.00
Sixth Prize	100.00	200.00
Seventh Prize, four cash awards of $25.00 each	100.00	100.00
Fifty prizes of $10.00 each	500.00	500.00
Two hundred prizes of $5.00 each	1,000.00	1,000.00
TOTAL	$5,000.00	$8,400.00

Address All Contest Mail to 'CONTEST MANAGER,' SOCIAL JUSTICE Royal Oak, Michigan

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO.
SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO.
SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO.
SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO.
SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO.

Study the checks above. They were paid as DOUBLED prizes to winners in our recently concluded *"MEN AND MONEY"* Contest.

They were paid as DOUBLED prizes because those winners entered the contest during the first five weeks.

Notice the check for $5,000.00 paid to Mrs. McCabe, of New York City. This lady entered the contest when it started. If she had delayed until after the doubling "deadline" had passed, her prize would have been only $2,500.00.

See those two checks for $2,200.00 each issued to Mr. Peter Farrell, of Kaukauna, Wisconsin, and to Mrs. R. O'Connor, of Owosso, Michigan. These two contestants were tied for second place and graciously agreed to divide the sum of the second and third cash prizes. But, if they had delayed their entrance into the contest, each would have received only $1,100.

Notice the checks for $325.00 issued to Mr. Peter McCabe, of Elmhurst, Long Island, and to Mr. Albert Regan, of Lawrence, Mass. These two contestants tied for the fifth prize of $300.00 and one of the $25.00 prizes. They agreed to split and both had entered during the first five weeks. Therefore, each received $325.00.

These facts are important to you as a participant in our *"AMERICA FIRST" Contest* now starting.

The first six prizes in this great patriotic contest are DOUBLED for winners who enter during the first five weeks. Read over the list of prizes. See how this works out.

If you have been in former SOCIAL JUSTICE contests and did not win, remember that you came within a few answers of winning. Remember this, and persevere.

Enter early so that, in the event of victory, your cash prize will be DOUBLED. Enter the contest now.

Comment

British Prediction

MANY PERSONS recognize that we are virtually in the second world war.

Predictions made by the British have been incorporated in the *Congressional Record* by Senator Rush Holt of West Virginia.

The predictions were found in the English publication named *The Round Table* which, in 1939, said:

" 'Far indeed, by degrees that have sometimes gone unperceived has the Roosevelt administration led the American people actively into the world crisis.'

"In the previous article I discussed the educational campaign of the administration for our involvement as shown by the *Round Table*, the London magazine founded by Lord Lothian."

Mr. Holt continues:

"In this article I desire to show how accurately the English knew the foreign policies of the administration, far more than that known by Members of Congress.

Repeal of the Neutrality Act

"In the March, 1939, issue in the article, *Guns, Butter, and Gospel*, I note this:

" 'The administration intends to seek amendment of the act (*Neutrality Act*), and, if the President is not granted full discretion to discriminate between aggressor and defender, then Congress may at least put the trade on a cash-and-carry basis which ought not seriously to impede France and Great Britain.'

"This was predicted, as you note, more than a half year before the action was taken.

"In the same article, I note another reference to what Congress would do about the *Neutrality Act*. You will see that some had agreed to forfeit our neutrality . . . Yes; even further, the article states that the President would not enforce the law with equity but would choose sides. The reference follows:

" 'And in this question of continuance lies a key point in the whole problem. The *Neutrality Act* makes mandatory an embargo on arms shipments when the President finds a state of war to exist. But President Roosevelt proposes to lift this section 1 of the *Neutrality Act*, and to place arms shipments on a cash-and-carry basis, allegedly nondiscriminatory. In fact, the control of the seas and access to foreign exchange that Great Britain and France would probably enjoy, to the disadvantage of Italy and Germany, doubtless means that a cash-and-carry provision would be no impediment to the shipment of arms. In short, it is difficult to see how the neutrality law can now be advanced abroad as a main source of dubiety about American policy. The law will not be repealed, it may not even be changed drastically, but it seems to have lost much of its meaning. And in any event, it isn't fashionable to declare wars any more and, no matter what the circumstances, the President has authority to 'find' whether or not war exists. The administration would prefer to see the law changed to give them power to discriminate between the aggressor and his opponents, but that is a logical hurdle over which Congress probably will not jump. A test struggle, perhaps the American version of a 'vote of confidence' on the whole new positive foreign policy, may come upon the question of amending the *Neutrality Act*. But it will be somewhat deceptive. Even if not one line in the act is changed, the administering authority behind it has already changed its viewpoint.'

* * *

"The *Round Table* was not exactly sure of the repeal of the arms embargo but felt the President would administer it as they desired. In their words:

" 'The *Neutrality Act* may not be amended, and that will disappoint the administration, but it will not be a crippling handicap.'

"Why should it not be a 'crippling handicap?' Because, as the magazine had previously said 'the President has authority 'to find' whether or not war exists,' and 'even if not one line in the act is changed, the administering authority behind it has already changed its viewpoint.'

"All of these things came before the invasion of Holland, Belgium, Denmark, and Norway.

"All of these things came before the invasion and defeat of Poland.

"All of these things came months before the declaration of war.

"Were there any commitments or agreements which were kept secret from the American people?

Methods Short of War

"In the *Round Table*, founded by Lord Lothian, British Ambassador to the United States, the statement is made that the United States would be aligned with Great Britain and they say that the President has issued a plain warning to that effect.

"Not only do they make such a prediction, but they cite 13 steps that will be taken by the United States. Read them and see how accurate they were in announcing what would be done. How marvelous they pointed to our actions long before the actions were taken. The article follows:

" 'There are many methods short of war, but stronger and more effective than mere words, of bringing home to aggressor governments the aggregate sentiments of our own people.'

" 'These words, taken from President Roosevelt's annual message to Congress, are the key to present American foreign policy. In effect, the American Government is seeking to combat the totalitarian states with every means available short of force.

" 'President Roosevelt is issuing a plain warning that the United States will be aligned with Great Britain and France in the event of a major European war, although of course the nature of American participation cannot be defined in advance. Nor can the President actually guarantee that the American people will follow him. But he can construct a policy, and is so doing, that would make it very difficult for the United States to do anything else. He is not permitting Germany, Italy, and Japan to make any miscalculations about American policy. He is the aggressive world leader of the democracies, although somewhat removed from the firing line. He is, so to speak, the leader of the cheering section.

" 'But American policy is not limited to mere cheering, although that may seem to be its sum to nations living in the midst of the arena. It is most revealing to list the main points in the present American foreign program, most of which are actually being acted upon, although a few are still at the blueprint stage. Here they are:

" '(1) An intensive armament building program, under which American fighting forces for the coming fiscal year will cost about $1,500,000,000.

" '(2) Projection of a naval base at Guam, on Japan's front doorstep, over 3,000 miles west of Hawaii.

" '(3) Extension of trade preferences, meaning economic and perhaps political stability, to the Philippines, and perhaps eventual 'dominion status' for the islands.

" '(4) Substantial financial aid to China.

" '(5) Diplomatic insistence on American 'rights' in Asia.

" '(6) Constant verbal warnings and thrusts directed toward the Nazis.

" '(7) Direct assistance to France and Great Britain through the export of American aircraft and other materials.

" '(8) Proposed amendment of the Neutrality Act to assure France and Britain of continued war supplies so long as they can pay for and transport them.

" '(9) Projected economic, monetary, and tariff 'sanctions' against the authoritarian governments.

" '(10) An effort to strengthen economic ties between normal-trading nations through reciprocal pacts.

" '(11) An effort to force inter-American continental solidarity and keep the totalitarian states out of the New World.

" '(12) Every practicable step to aid the Jews, particularly in refugee work.

" '(13) Perhaps one should add an extraordinary relationship between President Roosevelt and the world leaders of the Roman Catholic Church, in which he appears to encourage them to stand for the

(Continued on Page 13)

Election Interference

AMERICANS, generally, are not aware that "there has been English interference in our Congress and our elections for 70 years," asserts the *San Francisco Leader*.

Its editorial continues as follows:

"It was an English agent, Ernest Seid, working for the Rothschilds, who used English gold in getting our Congress to demonetize silver in 1873.

"English money was used to corrupt the American ballot box and insure the defeat of Bryan for President in 1896.

"English money was used against him in 1900, when the gold standard and our invasion of the Far East were the campaign issues.

"English agents in a great American corporation were suspected of swinging the Democratic nomination to Wilson in 1912.

"In the campaign of 1920, when a Republican victory was a certainty, a minion of the British financial agents, the House of Morgan, manipulated the convention machinery in favor of Harding.

"The same interests secured the appointment of Hoover to the Cabinet, and at once a build-up for Hoover as President was begun.

"The same interests secured the appointment of Hughes as Secretary of State, and Hughes engineered the sacrifice of our Navy at Britain's suggestion.

"The same interests had McAdoo on their list of stock-market beneficiaries in 1929, and McAdoo swung the Democratic nomination to Roosevelt in 1932.

"And it is only reasonable to believe that the same interests have manipulated national politics in 1940 so that the American voter is confronted with a Hobson's choice — a choice between the greater or the lesser of two evils."

The same *San Francisco Leader*, furthermore, makes the following delicate distinction:

"Contrary to the opinion of some superficial observers, we are not animated by any hatred of the English people. But we do hate iniquity; and we have an especial antipathy to that particular incarnation of villainy, known as the British Empire."

Comment

(Continued from Page 12)

interests of the church against the state in totalitarian countries. He seems to seek to evoke the memory of the Kulturkampf.

" 'All these points constitute no trivial program, no policy of words alone. Far indeed, by degrees that have sometimes gone unperceived, has the Roosevelt administration led the American people actively into the world crisis.'

* * *

Administration Leads to 'Active Participation'

"In the last article I noted the 'educational campaign' to involve us in the European struggle and how it was stated we were far along 'the path of 1917.' As you noted the last quotation ended:

" 'All these points constitute no trivial program, no policy of words alone. Far indeed, by degrees that have sometimes gone unperceived, has the Roosevelt administration led the American people actively into the world crisis.'

"Think! Read that again and again and note that the actions were 'unperceived' and that the administration had led the American people 'actively into the world crisis.' Shall American boys be killed in 1941 as a result of these actions? Shall American soldiers be wounded and shell shocked by this active intervention in the world crisis?

"The *Round Table* definitely defines what all the world knows about our unneutrality. They know the progress to active belligerency goes from neutrality to nonneutrality, to nonbelligerency, and then to active belligerency. They know it, and those driving for such a position in this country know it, even though they deny the fact.

"The *Round Table* said in 1939:

" 'The people are more than six to one against sending American troops abroad. Here, perhaps, public opinion does not realize the consequence of its own unneutrality, and gives way to wishful thinking.'

"How correct they were in that statement. Those who say aid 'short of war' should realize the steps are taking America right into the war. They are wishful thinkers. Step by step, America is being involved.

History of 1917 Repeated

"England was noting our change in sentiment, how we were far along 'the path of 1917.' The tragedy is that those American boys who had nothing to do with our involvement will die as a result of it.

"The *Round Table* in its September (1939) issue stated:

" 'How, when, or whether, the United States would actually be drawn into the conflict is, naturally, a question that cannot be answered, but if one is estimating the probabilities, they are that the history of 1914-17 would be foreshortened and repeated.'

" 'The history of 1914-17 would be foreshortened and repeated!' They know. The administration knows. But the American people are told that we will not go to war. Twenty years afterward, we will find out the secret diplomacy of the last 5 years. It will not be a happy picture."

A New Deal for the Old World

FOLLOWING up the comment in preceding editions of this National Magazine outlining the new Europe envisioned by the eventual and probable peace terms, SOCIAL JUSTICE'S European reporter suggests some additional territorial changes which might be classified as "desirable and quite possible."

These, it is explained, would tend to consolidate the zones of influence, previously commented upon, and work to remove the causes of future friction among the nations of the post-war world.

For example, France might be given all the British colonies in West Africa, namely, Nigeria, Gold Coast, Sierra Leone and Gambia, etc. Also, Liberia and Portuguese Guinea.

Thus, apart from Egypt and Morocco (together with the contiguous Spanish colony of Rio d'Oro), the whole of the northern section of the African continent would be divided into two solid blocks: the French to the West, the Italians to the East.

Then, if Spain ceded to Germany her small possession on the Congo Coast, the whole of Central Africa would likewise form a German block.

South Africa—provided she agreed to fall in with the new European order, as is more than likely—might be confirmed in her present frontier, with the addition of the greater part of what used to be German West Africa, the greater part of Bechuanaland and all Portuguese territory south of the river Limpopo. Thus, the Transvaal would acquire its natural outlet to the sea (Delagoa Bay) and an excellent frontier would be secured to the Union.

Southern Rhodesia might be confirmed as the one remaining Anglo-Saxon Crown Colony in Africa; and it might be given access to the sea by the addition of all Portuguese territory lying between the rivers Limpopo and Zambesi, with the important port of Beira.

Portugal would, of course, need to be compensated for the above amputations. But this could be done by giving a better frontier to her colony of Angola, by means of strips of territory from ex-German West Africa and Bechuanaland, and by giving her the greater part of Northern Rhodesia, so that Angola would thus be united to her remaining Mozambique possessions, forming together a great Portuguese area across Africa from ocean to ocean.

The northern-most portion of Northern Rhodesia might be advantageously joined to the German block.

* * *

There are a few other smaller, but thorny, problems to be settled in Europe.

Is Italy, for example, to have Dalmatia?

Is Albania to have the whole of her natural frontier, namely the whole of the Upper Drin valley, including Ipek, Djakova and Prisred, as well as Ochrida and the Greek province of Northern Epirus (Chumeria)?

Should Southern Serbia (Serbian Macedonia) be given to Bulgaria?

Should the Turks be constrained to quit Europe? If so, would it not be advisable to give Constantinople to Russia (removing the last bone of contention between Russia and the rest of Europe), the Gallipoli peninsula and the island of Imbros to Greece, and all the rest of Turkey in Europe to Bulgaria?

Thus the Bosporus and Dardanelles would be completely internationalized—a highly desirable thing.

No doubt Russia will also require certain readjustments of her land frontier with Turkey—but this is quite a minor operation.

A more difficult question (and perhaps the most thorny one of all) is the following: Should Germany be given access to the Mediterranean Sea through Fiume?

Fiume is already a Free Port; and perhaps the best solution would be to make of Fiume an independent *corpus separatum* with jurisdiction over the international railroads as far as Crnomelj in Croatia, and at the same time to detach Solvenia from Jugoslavia, incorporating this province within the Greater Reich with full administrative and cultural autonomy.

* * *

There remain some other questions, like that of the Channel Islands. Should these islands be made an integral part of France, to which they belong both geographically and lingually?

Should the Canton Ticino, together with other small portions of Swiss territory, south of the great natural barrier of the Alps, be ceded to Italy? Switzerland to receive compensation by the attribution of North Savoy, which economically is more closely related to Switzerland than to France?

Should Finland be given Norwegian Lapland?

Should Greenland be relinquished to America, to which it naturally belongs?

* * *

All these questions require very careful consideration.

The unique opportunity is at last presenting itself for a radical reconstruction of the old world without rancour and without recrimination. There is, therefore, much to be said in favor of tackling all the outstanding questions and settling them once and for all.

Provided the scale is not too large, exchanges of population can always be effected to make the territorial changes more consonant with the aspirations of minorities.

For the rest, it matters little what happens to the European colonies outside Europe's vital space.

However, India, including Ceylon, will in all likelihood remain within Europe's vital space, under the leadership of Great Britain.

Once the gold-debt system is abolished by the mother country, and a well-designed Corporative State established in England, it might be possible to win the good will of the Indian peoples so that co-operation might be continued until India could be built into a nation, until the standard of living and education of the peoples of India can be raised to a high level, and until India can be left entirely to her own unaided devices, without wishing to sever relations with the British.

In the Bag?

A FEW WEEKS ago William Green decided the new President of the United States should address the National Convention of the A. F. of L. on November 18.

Instead of waiting for the election, Mr. Green went blithely to the White House and asked Mr. Roosevelt if he were free to make a speech on that date.

Unperturbed, Mr. Roosevelt agreed to do the honors and told his secretary to mark down the day.

Comment

Roosevelt Versus Hitler

ACCORDING to Henry A. Wallace, vice-presidential candidate, the forthcoming election is a contest between Mr. Roosevelt and Adolf Hitler.

Unquestionably, millions of Americans will coincide with that expression.

More truthfully, however, this presidential contest is between Mr. Roosevelt and a forgotten gentleman named George Washington!

For your information, the said George Washington was the gentleman who fought valiantly against Great Britain, because the rulers of that country persisted in regarding us as financial slaves and political colonists.

For your further information, this George Washington—a rich man as riches went in his day—risked his all to call the bluff associated with red coatism, Toryism, Bank of Englandism and financial slavery.

Having been dubbed a "rebel" and a "traitor," Mr. Washington, together with a group of untrained patriots, first were confronted with a problem of smashing down the propaganda, the prejudices and the opposition of their so-called fellow citizens who loved Great Britain more than they loved the Thirteen Colonies.

These fellow citizens were known as Tories.

These fellow citizens called Washington vile names.

These fellow citizens, who refused to be converted, were silenced or moved out *en masse* to Canada and became known as the *United Empire Loyalists.*

* * *

But back to our story of this forgotten personage: George Washington and his compatriots defeated the red coats from England.

Following that signal victory, General Washington and his contemporaries were confronted with setting up a Government and writing a *Constitution* so that government would be one of law and not of man.

And for your final information on this subject, George Washington became the first President of the United States of America, serving two terms in that office and refusing to accept a third term.

He was impelled to refuse the third term chiefly because the country which he fathered was a country whose government, we repeat, was established on law and not on man.

To his mind the law was indispensible.

To his mind no man is indispensible.

The only man who thinks himself indispensible, according to Washington's logic, is the man who is still Tory-minded, the man who belongs with the *United Empire Loyalists,* the man who is more British than he is American, the man who cares not one wit for the *Constitution* because he thinks himself above the *Constitution.*

* * *

To remind you of Washington's refusal to accept a third term and therefore of his opposition to the theory of indispensability, may we quote for you an excerpt from his *"Farewell Address."* Said he:

"The period for a new election of a citizen to administer the executive government of the United States being not far distant, and the time actually arrived when your thoughts must be employed in designating the person who is to be clothed with that important trust, it appears to me proper, especially as it may conduce to a more distant expression of the public voice, that I should now apprise you of the resolution I have formed, to *decline* being considered among the number of those, out of whom a choice is to be made.

"I beg you, at the same time, to do me the justice to be assured, that this resolution has not been taken, without a strict regard to all the considerations appertaining to the relation which binds a dutiful citizen to his country; and that in withdrawing the tender of service which silence in my situation might imply, I am influenced by no diminution of zeal for your future interest; no deficiency of grateful respect for your past kindness; but am supported by a full conviction that the step is compatible with both."

What's My Name?

WIDELY quoted in the current exchanges since its publication in the *Chicago Tribune,* is the following "puzzler" submitted by a Reader:

"I was born in New York State in a great mansion. I never knew what work was or is, and neither did my father. However, I know more about your work or business than you do. As a boy I had two or more nurses and a governess to answer and satisfy my every whim. During the last war, when most men my age took up arms for my country, through pull I received a cushie job as Assistant Secretary of the Navy (that an older and more experienced man could have filled better). I practiced law in Wall Street, defending "bucket shops." I joined just two business ventures, both of them proving 100 per cent losses to investors long before the collapse of 1929. I served four years as Governor of a great State and wrecked its finances. I have served eight years as President of the greatest nation in the world and now have it in the worst mess in its history. While my wife, sons and daughter, through the prestige of my office, have each made fortunes, I now want to continue myself and family in office forever. What's my name?"

Philip A. Adler

SOME newspaper columnists—and newspaper owners — appear to take delight in leaving themselves wide open for criticism.

A current example of what is meant is found in the October 10th edition of the *Detroit News,* in the conclusion of a series of articles by Philip A. Adler, much traveled anti-German.

Professional propagandist that he is, he nodded—even as Homer did—in his clumsy attempt to smear the Germans—both the leaders and the entire population.

The headline of this article reads: *"Hitler's No. 1 Castle a Haven of Misfits."* The sub-headline reads: *"Dwarf Goebbels, Sadist Himmler, Moronic Streicher and Hitler Himself All Subjects for Psychoanalyst."*

This type of journalism takes for granted that the readers thereof are also moronic—unable to think for themselves; children of blind passion; sponges for propaganda.

Why such a conclusion?

The answer is simple. Granted that Hitler, Goebbels, Himmler, Streicher and the rest of the German leaders are morons. They have had phenomenal success in rationalizing their country against its enemies, in building up a war machine that flattened Europe and in accomplishing what the Philip Adlers thought was the impossible.

On second thought, possibly the English and American people are praying that they might be blessed with a group of "morons" capable of accomplishing in their countries what Hitler has accomplished in his.

If morons are identified with success in national defense, what type of leaders have we, granted that they are not morons?

Mr. Adler's clumsy technique is not novel.

Perhaps it was utilized centuries before the time of Christ. But, unquestionably, it was utilized during the time of Christ.

Do you remember how the scribes and pharisees were accustomed to say that Christ worked His miracles by the power of Beelzebub?

Well, Mr. Adler learned his lesson from the scribes and pharisees—but forgot that their technique bounced back in their faces.

* * *

Now, granted that we do not like Mr. Hitler; granted that we are definitely opposed to him, what is the best way to undermine the German leader in the minds of the American public?

We suggest that, since the United States is not at war with Germany, Mr. Adler and a group of American journalists proceed to Berlin to interview Der Feuhrer.

Let them ask him to expound his concept of God, of religion, of man, of immortality, of patriotism, of justice, of ownership, of production, of distribution, of international law, and of other basic questions relative to mankind.

Include in their questions an answer to modern democracy—with emphasis on the word "modern."

No doubt Mr. Hitler, who is a human being and subject to the darts of criticism, will be glad to give succinct answers to these philosophical questions.

These answers and these alone, together with the fruits produced by Hitler, will determine whether or not he and his associates are "moronic and fit subjects for a psychoanalyst."

And while mentioning the word "psychoanalyst" we are prone to remember that the science was exploited by one Dr. Freud, who endeavored to reduce all the questions and answers to a basic sexual proposition.

And Freud was an atheist as well as an anti-Christian.

Perhaps, the *Detroit News* will recognize the lack of logic employed in the propaganda which emanates from the typewriter of Philip Adler.

If another series of articles like that should be employed, it is liable to make the American people clamor for a haven for misfits at Washington.

Not that Washington is any haven of genius at the moment.

Comment

Must We Hitlerize?

MUST WE Hitlerize to fight Hitlerism, asks Merle Thorpe, editor of the *Nation's Business*, a magazine for business men.

"It is disturbing to report that there is a growing belief that the only way to compete with a one-man government is to set up a one-man government here," says Editor Thorpe. "The Senate debates the issue of giving the President full-time war powers now. Senator Pepper, accredited as the Administration spokesman, pleads:

" 'In addition to that (three general war powers) I have purposely included the power to suspend the *Wage-Hour Law*, the power to suspend the *Walsh-Healey Act*, the power to suspend the *National Labor Relations Act*, the power to suspend any rule, any regulation, any statute which the President thinks, in his wise discretion, will interfere with the vital necessity of this country's arming itself at the earliest possible time that conditions permit . . .

" 'If more hours of work are required of labor, I favor conferring upon the President power to require whatever number of hours the national emergency may necessitate . . .'

"With the picture clearly before us of what that has meant abroad, can we, with open eyes, subscribe to such a course here? We must remember that it means not only conscription of the daily life of each of us but also the use of the other tools of dictators. It is impossible to adopt half-way measures.

* * *

"The other tools involve moral values," the editorial continues. "Dictators have thrown international law into the ash-can. Broken promises are their rule. Expediency is their highest concept. Women and children *second*. Old-fashioned moralities are *passe*. Subterfuge is their pattern, and, as paternalism and regimentation grow, such practices pass the sentence of death upon human decency.

"It is this spiritual significance that dismays. Already we find ourselves in the backwash of Europe's unmorality. Short cuts which violate the *Constitution* have become the fashion, cleverness is a credo, devious processes are the rule, traditional values are written down on pretext of emergency, 'reform' is the excuse for desecrating established principles and for whipping up class hatreds. Party platform promises, solemnly pledged, all too frequently turn out meaningless gestures.

"Imperceptibly and insidiously political management stimulates the transfer of the rights of the individual to itself. The road upon which we are galloping leads to complete subordination of the Man to the State, of the individual to subservience to another individual. During the discussion on proposed power which would give the Chief Executive authority to compel an American to make implements of war for some foreign country, Senator Clark, of Missouri, was moved to exclaim: 'There has never been a more extensive assumption of power by Hitler or Mussolini than that.'

* * *

"When the advocates of full powers for the President are pressed, they reply that the dictatorship is 'only temporary.' But history records, and intelligent appraisal tells us, that such autocracy conceded in 'emergency' never finds it practical to restore personal liberties.

"So, our danger is not so much the probability of loss of freedom by a Hitler invasion; it is the danger of building up a Hitler system at home.

"The President himself warned us in 1936 that we had forged new instruments of public power which in improper hands would provide shackles for the liberties of the people.

"The additional powers now asked for raise the question: Why defend the dignity and freedom of the individual abroad at the risk of losing this priceless heritage at home?

"Has the Republic, staunch defender of its rights in other serious emergencies, lost its virility—and ideals?

"What the people must protect and what they must face are for the most part within their own borders. The rallying cry should be: *Defend liberty with the institutions and weapons of liberty, else it is not worth defending.*"

Soldiers Do Not Vote

ONE OF THE ironical features of peacetime conscription is that hundreds of thousands of those expected to be called to military service will automatically lose their vote.

This threat of disenfranchisement already applies to thousands in the regular armed forces and to many National Guardsmen who recently were mobilized for President Roosevelt's emergency.

Thus, men comprising America's first line of defense, who may be called upon to fight and die for their country's objectives in time of war, will have no voice in the conduct of the nation's affairs.

They are to be deprived of their right to vote for public officials pledged to keep America out of war.

This manifestly unfair situation exists, not because of any provision in the *Selective Service Act*, but because of laws in the various states which either prohibit soldiers from voting or make no provision for their voting.

Only 13 states have laws setting up special procedure for voting by soldiers. Two have enacted special provisions, but have designated no procedure.

Out-state absentee voting is barred in 12 states and in Hawaii, Alaska and Puerto Rico. Thus, men sent to military camps outside these states are automatically disfranchised.

In 32 states, soldiers may vote if they are stationed within the state of their legal voting residence. In 16 states, however, and in Hawaii, Alaska and Puerto Rico, a soldier loses his vote even though he may be encamped in his own state, but outside his voting precinct.

While 36 states allow out-state absentee voting and 32 permit in-state absentee voting, *31 states do not permit absentee registration.* In the latter group are some of the most populous states in the Union: New York, Pennsylvania, Illinois, Indiana, Connecticut, Florida, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Ohio, Virginia and Texas.

Obviously, then, men from those 31 states who are called for service and have not registered before they leave home, simply lose their right to vote. Regardless of whether a man's home state permits absent soldiers to vote, if it denies him the right to register he is unable to vote.

That would be the case of men conscripted upon reaching the age of 21. If they neglect to register before being shipped away to camp they are prohibited from doing so until they return.

In 8 states a soldier could vote in his home precinct a few days before election, if it were possible for him to obtain leave or if he should not be called to service until then.

Texas has a law disfranchising men in the military service.

Unless a majority of state legislatures get busy very soon and revise their statutes on absentee registration and voting by soldiers, hundreds of thousand of qualified American men will be victims of unjust discrimination.

The Regular Army before long will reach its full strength of 375,000 men. Nearly 100,000 National Guardsmen have been mobilized already and the remaining 130,000 will be called in January and February, according to War Secretary Henry L. Stimson. Approximately 800,000 civilian conscriptees are expected to be in service by June, 1941. That will give the military force of the nation a total well over 1-million men in active service.

In all fairness the states must make provisions for these men to exercise their voting rights. The National Congress cannot do it. Authority rests with the states.

Below is a table showing the various state laws regarding voting by soldiers. It was prepared by the State law division of the Legislative Reference Service of the Library of Congress. Representative Fred L. Crawford of Michigan introduced it into the *Congressional Record.*

TABLE SHOWING VOTING RIGHTS OF PERSONS IN MILITARY SERVICE

State	Special procedure for soldier voting	Absent voting in and out of State, including soldiers	Absent voting in and out of State, excluding soldiers	Voting before election at place of residence	Absentee registration
Alabama	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Alaska	No	No	No	Yes	No
Arizona	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arkansas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
California	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Colorado	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Connecticut	No	Yes	No	No	No
Delaware	Yes	No	No	No	(1)
Florida	No	No	No	Yes	No
Georgia	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Hawaii	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Idaho	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Illinois	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Indiana	No	(2)	Yes	No	No
Iowa	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Kansas	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Kentucky	No	No	No	No	No
Louisiana	No	No	No	Yes	No
Maine	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Maryland	Yes	No	No	No	No
Massachusetts	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Michigan	(3)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Minnesota	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Mississippi	No	No	No	No	?
Missouri	Yes	(4)	Yes	No	Yes
Montana	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Nebraska	(3)	Yes	Yes	No	No
Nevada	No	Yes	Yes	No	?
New Hampshire	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
New Jersey	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
New Mexico	No	No	No	No	Yes
New York	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
North Carolina	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
North Dakota	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Ohio	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Oklahoma	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Oregon	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Pennsylvania	Yes	No	No	No	No
Puerto Rico	No	No	No	No	No
Rhode Island	No	Yes	No	No	No
South Carolina	No	No	No	No	No
South Dakota	No	Yes	Yes	No	?
Tennessee	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Texas	No	No5	No5	No	No
Utah	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Vermont	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Virginia	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
Washington	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
West Virginia	No	Yes	No	No	?
Wisconsin	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Wyoming	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

1 During active service.
2 Probably dependent on place of encampment.
3 Special provision but not special procedure.
4 Soldiers only may vote outside of State.
5 Doubtful as to persons in National Guard, etc.

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Enlightenment Is Need

TO THE EDITOR:

When things get so bad that the American people have no voice in their government, it's time something was done about it!

Father Coughlin, Col. Lindbergh, Gen. Hugh Johnson, Sen. Hamilton Fish, Eddie Rickenbacker, the late Senator Borah and the late Smedley Butler to mention but a few, represent true American ideals.

They advocated real American policies, yet they have been ridiculed, and shamed in the eyes of many of our people. It is claimed by the opposition that this great Republic of ours is in danger of invasion by such bad boys as Hitler, Mussolini and a Jap fellow. Yet the ruling majority demands more drastic set-ups to warp the dictators' minds.

By listening to much over the radio, and in the press, we could all become victims of the British daze. It's just as bad as any poison gas once it enwraps itself about your person. It teaches you that all Germans are treacherous, and not worth knowing, and that Italians are half as bad, too. While the British are splendid "democrats." and never, never harm anyone.

So little is said about Communists that I doubt very much Stalin likes it. After all, Joe has fought hard to put Americansky in a state of turmoil, and then the British lords stepped in. Well, Joe Stalin should find some satisfaction in the fact that Earl Browder isn't in jail, but a "capitalist" like Joe McWilliams took his place.

Gov. Lehmann, a very smart and cultured gentleman, has even let the aggressive spirit ruin years of fine American ideals he advocated. This shows to what extent, and how serious utterances can stir up a genuine hate, among the less educated, and poorly equipped citizen.

We need enlightenment today rather than blind hatred, to make America a respected and intelligent nation among all peoples of the world.

We can do this only by molding together all alien beliefs, into American ideals, for all Americans.

Bronx, N. Y. —J.G.

Judge Was No Help

TO THE EDITOR:

After attending a rally last night in Arcadia Hall, Brooklyn, where Mr. Wendell Willkie and all the boys on the ticket with him made speeches, telling all the good things they have done and all they intend to do if elected, we came away convinced that the Jews are out to sabotage any votes that may be directed toward the Willkie camp.

Arcadia Hall, being located in a stronghold of Father Coughlin's friends, it is reasonable to believe, that rather than see the White House being used as a resting place and place of entertainment for the Reds for the next four years as it has been in the past, those friends of the Radio Priest were prepared to give their votes to Mr. Willkie.

However, Judge Proskauer, a Jew, while speaking for Mr. Willkie, referred to his slur of Father Coughlin and his followers. Judge Proskauer did not mention Father Coughlin, but from a clipping, he read to the audience Willkie's repudiation of votes from the Coughlinites.

That anti-Catholic veiled attack cost Mr. Willkie thousands of votes!

Mr. Willkie will probably wake up when it is too late that these Jews are out to elect Roosevelt while pretending to work for Willkie.

Brooklyn, N. Y. —Mrs. A.C.

God Save America!

TO THE EDITOR:

In a speech made at the recent New York State Democratic convention, Governor Lehman asserted that nothing would give the Fascists and especially the Nazis, more satisfaction than the defeat of President Roosevelt on election day. Mr. Lehman urged the re-election of Mr. Roosevelt for a third term, accordingly.

To my mind the remarks of the Governor are an insult to American intelligence. Significantly, he failed to state that the re-election of Mr. Roosevelt would mean much, politically, to Mr. Lehman himself, as well as to Britain and the internationalists.

Father Coughlin was right in averring that the coming election was destined not to be a free one. There is the controlled South; the Hague, Kelly, Nash, Flynn, etc., machines in the North; with the Hollywood-British tinge of pro-Roosevelt influence permeating our land.

Last but not least, here in New York, we have the two avowed American tradition haters attempting to influence the American vote —the above-mentioned Lehman and the Little Flower, LaGuardia.

—M.J.K.

New York City

A Reader's Letter to the St. Louis Post-Dispatch

TO THE EDITOR:

The following letter was sent to the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch:*

Your editorials in the Wednesday edition, entitled *"Dictator Roosevelt Commits an Act of War"* and *"Mr. Willkie Fires an Air Rifle,"* express thoroughly, yes gratefully, the minds of men and women who are thinking, and thinking aright today. Regretfully, there are not enough in numbers who have such convictions and the courage to express themselves publicly.

In the second editorial, after debunking Willkie, you ask, "where is the man who will lead America out of this debacle?"

Let us review some incidents of the past in which your paper contributed some very unkindly editorials. Let us go back to March, 1936, when your paper criticized and then predicted the early demise of SOCIAL JUSTICE, which was making its first appearance as a magazine for intelligent people to read. Today, that magazine is very much alive. SOCIAL JUSTICE has always devoted its pages in denouncing the very things that you are trying to prevent in your recent and present editorials.

Were you not one of the many who accused the Radio Priest of being a "rabble rouser?"

Were you not one of the many who strained many efforts to suppress his talks and have him removed from the air?

Were you not one of those who criticized his stand on the Government's policy of hoarding gold; his utterances against the international bankers, munition makers, warmongers and their designs to foster another war; against those who favored giving aid to the British welshers; for his suggestion that we revise our monetary system and his statements about our Government veering towards dictatorship, etc.?

Is it possible that his counsel and advice on all these matters, his predictions about the present war, etc., went unheeded because he is a Catholic priest?

Was it not the statement of the Radio Priest that the lifting of the embargo and instituting a cash and carry system would eventually mean credit and delivery? Does your first page article in Thursday's issue substantiate the statement made by the Radio Priest?

Did not a very powerful, although minority group make vehement demands upon a certain radio station in St. Louis to prevent his further broadcasts; yet this same station has given aid to the *Post-Dispatch* in broadcasting your recent editorial over the air.

This radio station believes you are right in your premises, the same as it believed in the pronouncements of the Radio Priest.

Was not the Radio Priest, the only audible voice on the air, speaking for the masses of the people, who when gainfully employed represent the backbone of sustained national prosperity in these United States? Was he not in favor of America for the Americans?

Now he's silent—and you ask me, where is the man? Alas, he's a minister of God, Father Coughlin, who cannot and will not renounce his vows or his priestly garb to tackle the job.

St. Louis, Mo. —G.B.

***Editor's Note*—Since the above letter was written, the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch* has switched again; they are for Roosevelt now.**

Looks Like Willkie

TO THE EDITOR:

The laboring class seem to have a dread fear of voting for a Republican because Republicans in their estimation stands for Hooverism. If Mr. Willkie can overcome the Hoover nightmare, he can go a long way with labor.

Since about the time of President Roosevelt's Charlottesville speech, I believe his popularity has waned and his seeking a third term has not helped it in the least.

The press, in a large part, has gone on record as opposed to the administration and public opinion seems to be coming more and more to the viewpoints of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

In view of these facts and Mr. Willkie's ability to gather strength as he goes along, I am inclined to believe he will carry this November election by a comfortable majority. —E.J.S.

Lancaster, Ohio

Rothschilds Are Here

TO THE EDITOR:

An item of "News Behind the News," by Paul Mallon, appears to be insignificantly innocent. A little study, however, convinces one that this innocent news item may contain some serious heartaches for Americans in the not too distant future. Let me quote from this article:

"Topmost ferrets of this Government are investigating rumors that have come to their official way about undercover Nazi efforts to rouse trouble that will occupy our attention with Mexico.

"Wisps of information have been floating around in high altitude here suggesting that within 60 days a couple of American divisions might have to be sent south of the Rio Grande if the Germans succeed in carrying out their designs. Rumors that arms, planes and money have been shipped in there have reached official quarters."

The first thought that enters one's mind upon reading this item is that we certainly need "defense" and "conscription." But when you realize that such things have happened in Europe for hundreds of years, you begin to wonder if the Western Hemisphere is about to be converted to feudalism.

My first thought was that the "Rothschilds are here!"

Now that the Rothschilds and their stooges have lost their "battleground" because of this war, they intend to make the Western Hemisphere a new battleground to keep alive the munitions industry. New hates will be developed between neighboring States. It would not even be surprising that when the munitions industry bogs down, the United States and Canada might engage in a friendly war to kill people for the profits of the Rothschilds.

Europe deposed the Rothschilds and we "inherit" them. Then, immediately, their agents begin their nefarious business of instituting peace-time conscription in violation of our *Constitution* and begin to cook up a war using their pursuer, Adolf Hitler, as the scapegoat.

But in back of it all is the Rothschilds munitions racket.

Cincinnati, Ohio —H.K.M.

Letters

Expensive Friendship

TO THE EDITOR:

If President Roosevelt had been born in the Rothschild mansion, his services to Great Britain could be no greater than the services rendered by him as New Deal President of the United States.

In the interest of Great Britain and France, the President raised the price of foreign gold $15 per ounce above the world's coinage value, giving foreigners a profit of $6,135,000,000, on 409-million ounces of fine gold, shipped into the United States since January, 1934, all at the expense of the American people.

The President is transferring 50 so-called obsolete destroyers to Great Britain, in consideration of a lease for air and naval bases on the islands of Bermuda, Bahamas, Jamaica, St. Lucia, Trinidad, Antigua, in the Atlantic, and British Guiana in the South Atlantic.

If these islands are important in protecting our ocean trade—when equipped and surrendered to Britain under terms of the lease, they become a menace, a threat, and may be the means of destroying our ocean trade.

The United States needs no lease on British islands. We need to equip our own — the Panama Canal zone has 549 square miles, Virgin Islands 133, Puerto Rico 3,435, total of 4,117 square miles.

Why lease and equip islands for defense of international trade, and surrender them to a foreign nation? Great Britain owes us $5,497,000,000. Why not collect the debts, instead of giving the British the destroyers that some day may be used against us? Why not put an orthodox crown on the President and give him to the British?

During the past two decades, our dealing with France and Great Britain — two dummy "democracies"—cost the American people over $40-billion. We stabilized their currency, paid interest on their debts, loaned them money on promises to pay, and were cheated by them.

Salt Lake City, Utah —E.J.K.

Voter Foresees 'Nazi Deal'

TO THE EDITOR:

The assertions by Mr. Wallace that the defeat of Mr. Roosevelt would be a joy to Hitler do not conform to logic. Hitler is a fanatic on socialism and no one has done as much for the advancement of socialism in the United States in recent years as has Mr. Roosevelt.

Show me a parlor pink and I will show you a vote for the New Deal. Yes, even thousands who are entirely Red are for Roosevelt this year. The election of Roosevelt will show that this country has gone socialistic and that Hitler's secret agents have accomplished more than we have given them credit for.

The election of Roosevelt will show that national socialism is just around the corner.

The crowd who elects him will demand a complete socialist government in a very short time after he takes office for a third term and he will have to do as they tell him or take the consequences.

Having once been a socialist, I see no difference between the policies of the New Deal and those of Karl Marx. Mr. Henry Wallace is wrong—Hitler *wants* Roosevelt. Hitler wants a socialistic America.

Columbus, Ohio. —R.K.

Jim Farley O.K.

TO THE EDITOR:

One of your correspondents, H.T.P., admits that Jim Farley was loyal and honest to the New Deal, but asks the question, "Has James A. Farley been equally loyal and honest with the American people?"

The answer is most assuredly and definitely, yes, he has.

Jim Farley is a man amongst men, a true patriot, a loyal friend and a splendid type of a Christian gentleman.

The ignorance—it must be that —of H.T.P. is colossal. Jim Farley never sold anyone down the river. He is esteemed and respected by all true and liberty-loving Americans, for his sincerity, honesty and patriotism.

When Roosevelt returns to Hyde Park next January to hibernate for the rest of his life, honest Jim —the best friend Roosevelt ever had—can sit back and smile while Roosevelt eats humble pie.

America will then get a break, when Willkie will give us a government of the people, by the people and for the people.

Chicago, Ill. —P.J.B.

Willkie Only Hope

TO THE EDITOR:

We, the people, have just got to elect Wendell Willkie for President regardless of his unkind remarks about Father Coughlin. By electing him we show our resentment for the unholy nomination of Roosevelt for a third term. Also with a new group of Congressmen, there may be some amongst them who are not just stuffed shirts.

Chicago, Ill. —J.M.H.

A. Einstein, Citizen

TO THE EDITOR:

Federal Judge Phillip Forman, a co-racial, welcomes Albert Einstein to American citizenship saying that the scientist's presence here becomes America's gain.

According to the press dispatch, the man humbled himself to become a citizen with ordinary people. I hope Einstein becomes as good a citizen as humble people.

Why didn't this Judge say: "Prof. Einstein, you can be proud to become a citizen of these United States," instead of trying to deflate the United States and expect this great Commonwealth to bow before Einstein?

Professor Einstein isn't such a great intellectual. It took the *Alien Registration Law* to rush him to become a citizen. He was driven out of a foreign land and he wasn't mathematically intelligent to see that America was the home of the free and the land of the brave.

Let us hope Prof. Einstein will now confine his political annunciation to American ideals.

We hope, too, he will be intelligent enough to "see" God.

Cleveland, Ohio —A Christian

A Democrat (?) Dissents

—Cartoonist Ray in the Kansas City Star

Concern in the East

TO THE EDITOR:

For many months informed persons have been worried by the hostile attitude of the present administration towards Japan—one of our best customers.

Prior to the entrance of Japan into China, Shanghai was the center of colossal Jewish financial interests in the Far East and also of Communism, which was destroying China. Since the Japanese have taken over Eastern China the same financial interests and Communism have been eliminated.

One wonders if the present attitude of the Administration politicians towards Japan is not inspired by two considerations:

First: their annoyance at the liquidation of the said financial interests and of Communism in China; and,

Secondly by its fear, not induced by love of the Netherlands, that Japan may seize the vast nickel holdings of the house of Guggenheim in the Dutch East Indies.

—New Englander

Providence, R. I.

The Lurking Communists

TO THE EDITOR:

It seems that a war now might weaken us enough for the Communists within to gain control, by a "pact" with the bundists, as they now have with the New Deal.

We must think of ourselves before the risky British aid.

It is obvious that the New Deal administration and the labor union administrations are saturated with Communists, and that with the return of work and prosperity, membership in the Communist Party will probably automatically dwindle.

New York, N.Y. —J.H.

Rescue German Children

TO THE EDITOR:

We Americans who have cut all our teeth and have outgrown rompers are not going to be the dupes and sentimental saps we were in 1917, and not going again to fight for a British government which after the last war showed her gratitude by "belittling the importance" of our aid and repudiating her multi-billion debt to us.

If our Government is going to defend neutrality with its mouth and aid belligerent Britain feverishly with both hands, are we going to take it lying down?

If American vessels are to be sent into mine-infested belligerent waters to rescue British children, let us demand that they also arrange to rescue children from Germany with whom likewise we are not at war (which latter fact our pressure-propagandists would have us forget). These German children are in just as much danger and are equally blameless for the war.

It is quite possible for American citizens to entertain sympathies for one side or another of the warring nations and still to remain 100 per cent neutral in action.

—M.G.T.

So. Boston, Mass.

Reward Your Congressman

Next Week Will Tell It

THE AMERICAN people are told time and again in the press and over the radio that the Congress of the United States by its debate is "delaying" this or that important bill, or is "imperiling" some program, business recovery or a defense measure.

During the last seven years—and the present session is no exception—Congress has been idling months away due to the lack of a legislative program. Then, when Congress is about to adjourn, the people's representatives are overwhelmed with legislation that has apparently been held up for months, purposely.

Then the newspapers and radio commentators hammer away at the "delays of Congress" and censure the legislators for not passing all these last-minute bills without debate.

The American people cannot express enough gratitude to a certain group of courageous Senators and Congressmen, irrespective of party, for their opposition to steam-roller methods, notwithstanding the animosity that has been created against them by the press.

By debate, the Senate in particular *has stopped many a plan that would have deprived the United States of its Constitutional form of government, before this.*

They have stopped the World Court.

They have stopped many a scheme that would have involved us in the European war.

These Congressmen had the courage to debate these bills and to expose their purpose and intent. They put their country ahead of their party.

Sometimes, the bill was defeated—like the World Court; other times it was amended, like the *Supreme Court Packing Bill;* lifting the embargo; or the *Reorganization Bill.*

* * *

As times goes on, the American people, if they are observant, will find the reason and real motives for many of these bills to be other than the ostensible motive and purpose given. Whenever debate has disclosed their real purpose, it has taken longer for the plotters to achieve their objective, because they have been exposed, diverted and therefore must seek different routes.

Let us hope that we do not find out too late why these courageous Congressmen fought to defeat these bills.

It is notable that, with few exceptions, one always will find the same Representatives and Senators, from both the Republican and Democratic Parties, fighting for the same issues to protect the interests of America and its citizens.

In the last debate on peace-time conscription, the newspapers and radio commentators almost *en masse* accused the Representatives and Senators who opposed the *Conscription Bill* as written, as "enemies of America" and as "imperiling the safety of the nation."

It appeared to be an organized effort on the part of pro-British pressure groups, such as the *Committee to Defend America by Giving Aid to England,* and the subsidized press and radio, together with a pressure group that is endeavoring to get America into war on account of hate and revenge.

Why was the *Conscription Bill* delayed until June, 1940?

Did the administration politicians make secret commitments to England, which they were unable to fulfill in an election year?

Did England then demand action and threaten otherwise to let the world know that she was being double-crossed—in order to carry on a fight for which England, apparently, was not enthusiastic?

It is something unusual for an administration to defy the Senate, by securing a 4,200-word opinion to transfer 25 destroyers.

If our nation was in peril for lack of manpower, *who was responsible?*

Why was the defense program delayed?

Why was it necessary, week after week, to take from the legislative hopper, bill after bill, asking for more defense appropriations, more ships, more airplanes, more this and more that, after they found out the people were so gullible to all the pro-war hysteria?

Is it not unusual that the Newport News Shipbuilding Co., which was sold recently to Lehmann & Co. interests, should have orders for $400-million, as well as other shipbuilding companies, when it will take at least ten years to build them?

Why give them orders ten years in advance?

* * *

Do the people also realize that so-called "ghost writers," and not the people's Representatives, actually prepare these bills?

These anonymous authors are supposed to be experts in the use of words and deception, that is "using words with a vague and double meaning."

After these bills are written, they are handed to one expert after another—possibly in some cases to as many as ten or more so-called "experts"—for the purpose of getting his interpretation and to aid in concealing, by his insertion of a word, a sentence, a comma, a semi-colon, a period—all to cover up the real purpose and intent!

Sometimes, these bills cover pages and pages of written matter; paragraph after paragraph overlapping each other with similar and alternating phraseology, twisting and distorting, deliberately to confuse by its cumbersome and meaningless wording.

Then it is reviewed and reviewed by all kinds of "experts"—and interested parties —except the real Representatives of the American people.

It sometimes takes from three to six months—and sometimes longer—to prepare the bill, the propaganda and the hysteria connected therewith. Sometimes, it is further delayed to await a psychological time to present it to Congress, after the people have been softened by their barrage of propaganda.

* * *

Congressmen, who are sincerely looking after their country and people's interest, then seek to debate these bills to find out what the concealed purpose and motive is. Debate in an open parliament gives Congressmen an opportunity to familiarize themselves, and awaken and familiarize the nation, with what is happening, so they can enlist support to defeat the bill, or to eliminate objectionable features by amendment if they are unable to defeat it.

For such patriotic and courageous service to America these Congressmen are called traitors, un-American and "Nazi agents" by a pressured press, alien-dominated radio and by outright pro-British organizations.

The Congressmen are expected to accept and not question what the secret planners have prepared in advance, nor are they expected to inquire into motives.

To question such a bill, even for a day, is "iniquitous" and traitorous.

* * *

When will the American people wake up and support these brave Congressmen at the polls, and defeat the others?

This is your last week!

Will you, the people, also let them know that you are behind them by sending them letters and telegrams?

The powerful interests opposing these staunch and loyal Representatives of the people have their wily lobbyists present. They pressure the newspapers and the radio commentators, and we are the suckers who read and believe.

The lobbyists always seek to make it appear that they are fighting *your* battle.

It is time that Americans took as much interest in the Congressional score that they do in baseball scores.

Find out what kind of "batting average" *your* Congressmen — your Representative and U.S. Senator—have on such issues as the World Court; Supreme Court Packing Bill; Reciprocal Trade Act; lifting the embargo; peace-time Conscription.

If he voted against these issues his average in the people's interest is 100 per cent.

And don't waste the time to write and ask the editors of SOCIAL JUSTICE what *your* Congressman did. *That's your job.*

Your home town newspaper reported his action at the time. If you have forgotten it, ask your local editor or your local public library. They have the *Congressional Record* on file and indexed.

If you still want to retain this Republic with even a vestige of democracy—but perhaps you don't.

Anyway, *this next week tells it.*

A Jewish State?

"MADAGASCAR is a most suitable place in which to found an independent Jewish State, and might well be allocated for that purpose," writes Dr. J. S. Barnes, veteran European observer in a recent letter to SOCIAL JUSTICE.

"It would be a grand thing for the oppressed Jews of Central and Eastern Europe—and for us Christians—if such a Jewish State could be created," Dr. Barnes observes.

"In a previous article to SOCIAL JUSTICE, I once outlined how such a scheme might be financed and carried out for all the Yiddish speaking Jews of Europe. I then thought of Northern Rhodesia as the most suitable place for them; but this was before the war and, therefore, before the defeat of France made the alternative of Madagascar feasible.

"It is true that Madagascar presents some native and climatic problems which are absent in Rhodesia. But these problems are not insurmountable. In all other respects, Madagascar, being an island, is the more suitable place.

"The remakers of the new Europe, who incidentally have the power to enforce their will, do not want the Jews within Europe's vital living space at all."

Comment

Lest You Forget

BEFORE we tore down the veil of secrecy;

Before we entered the war virtually on the side of the Allies;

Before we let the people in on the secret that we were "obsoleting" our ships, guns, airplanes, flying fortresses—and *Constitution*—to aid Great Britain, we had a national debt of approximately $50-billion and a national tax burden that was producing round shoulders faster than tailors could fit them.

Since that time we have earmarked another $16-billion for national defense by aiding the Allies — pardon, Great Britain (She's all that's left).

The New Deal economists are too cunning to raise the tax burden on the $16-billion at this juncture of our hysteria.

First things must come first.

The boys must be regimented into camps —camps made more alluring to them with "hostesses."

The people must get used to the new regimentation.

Revised editions of dictionaries must be published correcting the old definition of "obsolete."

A new song hit—"*I Did Not Raise My Girl To Be A Hostess*"—must wear itself out.

And then the sad, sad news will be broken relative to taxation.

Dollars to doughnuts are the odds that industry will be limited in profits; that the 40-hour week will be declared obsolete for the laboring class; and that Congressmen will be supplied with a gramophone record able to shout the American equivalent of "*Ja, Ja!*"

All of which inclines us to ask the question: "Is it more necessary to defend ourselves from the wreckers within who already have virtually declared war, than to defend ourselves against enemies from without who, as yet, have not torpedoed one American ship nor committed a hostile act against us?"

Of course, William Allen White and his Committee will disagree with the above remarks.

Please God that they will.

* * *

The *Christian Science Monitor*, speaking of taxes, seriously reports the following for the United States:

"Taxes Not Heavy Enough

"The fact that the 1940 revenue act will presumably bring into the Treasury the additional $4,700-million expected from it within the five-year period is not enough, the report holds, since fiscal policies must not only attack huge revenue problems, but influence production and consumption habits as well. To accomplish this, the rates of important taxes may have to be readjusted to effect a more deliberate diversion of funds from normal consumption and savings to the needs of defense.

"In addition, specific commodity and business excises may have to be established, in some cases at almost prohibitory levels, so as to curtail drastically civilian consumption of defense-program essentials, the report continued. If tax schedules are reconstructed to perform this additional function, they should form a system that will burden equally the rich and poor without violating current principles of tax justice, it adds.

"The report declares that any fiscal procedure which provided the Government with purchasing power without depriving the civil population of an equal amount could be considered inflationary."

As for Canada, the *Christian Science Monitor* reports the following:

"Early this year the Government estimated the cost of the war for 12 months at $700-million, twice what it had expected six months before. Now it expects to spend over $900-million, and possibly $1-billion. This is about twice the total normal pre-war expenditures of the Government. Adding the non-war expenditures, which have been cut down to $448-million, Canada now faces a total expenditure of close to a billion and a half, or three times the normal total.

"Indeed, as nature and war combine to pile up a huge unsaleable wheat surplus, for which the Government agrees to pay the farmer a top price of 70 cents a bushel, the year's expenditure may pass the $1½-billion mark. No Canadian dreamed that such figures were possible up to September, 1939.

"Against that huge total Canada hopes for revenues of $760-million in the present fiscal year, even with its heavy new taxation. Thus huge borrowings are inevitable, on a scale never before contemplated. Precisely what they will amount to cannot be foreseen accurately until the amount of the wheat surplus is known, and the precise cost of the war—both factors largely outside the Government's control. Certainly it is obvious that the Government's present war loan is only an installment of the total that must be borrowed.

* * *

"This does not end the drain on the savings of the Canadian people. To buy Canadian war goods, Britain is repatriating large amounts of Canadian securities, held by Britishers. In the current year's budget the Canadian Government calculated that about $200-million might be repatriated for this purpose. As the Canadian securities come home, they must be absorbed by the investors of Canada.

"Adding the needs of the Canadian Government and the British Government together, it is seen that the capacity of the Canadian investment market—the real savings of the people—will be taxed to the limit.

"No doubt the Government will depend to some extent for its borrowings on an extension of bank credit, which is quite sound, within limits, under present conditions. Normally, bank credit in Canada is a little more than half the amount of the national income. The national income, under the stimulus of war, is rising fast, and bank credit can rise somewhat also, with no danger of inflation."

Canadian Defense

AMERICAN vacationists returning from Canada report that although the country has been at war with Germany since September, 1939, the Dominion is far behind the United States in preparedness for war right now.

Until last August, Canada did not even have compulsory military service and even then a law was passed which limited the draft to young men between 21 and 25.

The United States, in peacetime, has a much more inclusive draft act.

As far as defensive military equipment goes, the Dominion appears to have decided to "let Uncle Sam do it."

Canada, the same sources report, has only 20 tanks of the four-ton type. The Army has a single modern 25-pounder howitzer. Signal equipment hardly exists.

Only two divisions, 63,000 men, have been sent overseas and these had to be equipped in Britain.

Not a single aircraft engine, it was reported, is being produced in Canada.

The great Canadian pilot training program, which was supposed to yield 50,000 pilots a year, has produced in the first year of the war about 300.

The Canadian navy is little larger than the Swiss, one informant reported. It consists, he said, largely of 13 destroyers, of which six were supplied by the United States.

Such is the military condition of the northern neighbor with whom the United States has recently concluded a defense agreement.

Canadians may be in the war in spirit, but they certainly are not in fact. There is more activity, and more pro-British propaganda, being expended this side of the line.

"Who's war is this?" is the concerted ejaculation of returning visitors interviewed at one of the busiest ports of entry.

Canadians are now asking for 50 U.S. tanks; and for permission to train Canadian pilots on American flying fields during the coming winter.

More Editorial Confusion

"THE announcement that the *Herald Tribune* has suppressed the column in which Miss Dorothy Thompson comes out for Roosevelt is compounded of serious significance and a touch of ironic comedy," says the *New York Daily Worker*.

"Miss Thompson has been the *Herald Tribune's* shrill priestess of 'democracy'; but no sooner does she veer from the strict instructions of her employers than down falls the sharp ax of censorship. The 'democracy' of Miss Thompson and the *Herald Tribune* stands nakedly forth as a thin pretense for gulling the naive.

"Not, of course, that there is any real difference of opinion between the Willkieites and Rooseveltites. It is all just a 'family spat' inside the rigidly set limits of their common war program. Roosevelt wants war; Willkie wants war; and Miss Thompson wants war. There is no disagreement on that; their common support for every single war move of the Administration amply proves it . . .

"One day, the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch* cries against Roosevelt 'Dictator!'; the next day it urges his re-election.

"One day, the *Chattanooga Times* prints a fervent plea for democracy; the next day it 'furloughs' two of its staff who want Willkie instead of Roosevelt to take them into the war. And now even the faithful Miss Thompson feels the gag in her mouth.

"Such is the cheap frailty of the boasted capitalist 'freedom of the press'."

NATIONAL WEEKLY
Social Justice
Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Defend This?

IN A SPEECH delivered at Columbus, Ohio, October 15th, Katherine F. Lenroot, chief of the United States Department of Labor Children's Bureau, literally stunned the nation that is being called upon to sacrifice its liberties for national defense.

Katherine Lenroot knows what she's talking about – or at least she should know.

As chief of the United States Department of Labor Children's Bureau, she has all available data on this subject at her finger tips.

Here are her words:

"The 20-million people who, according to rough estimates, are living on an average expenditure of 5 cents a meal or $1 a week are not fully incorporated in our democratic way of life.

"We do not have to worry about underproduction in this period of farm surpluses but only about ways of getting surplus food to hungry people."

* * *

So there are *20-million people, approximately, who live on an average expenditure of 5 cents a meal!*

For 7 years Mr. Roosevelt has had an opportunity to rectify the errors of Hooverism.

At the end of his second term, the chief of one of Mr. Roosevelt's bureaus indirectly tells her Boss that 20-million people are starving to death; for no one can *live* on an average of a nickel a meal.

And this Boss wants us to fight Hitlerism.

This Boss wants us to line up with John Bullism.

If he were able and capable, he would ask us to fight this world's greatest disgrace here in our midst.

* * *

This is the nation overridden by an administration which plowed under cotton, burned corn, destroyed pigs – to protect the precious banks and banksters of an international hue – with millions of persons starving here and abroad.

This is the same nation under the same Executive who is willing to sacrifice the lives and the liberties of our population to fight a battle – and a losing battle – to protect these exploiters of mankind.

Mr. Roosevelt can't regulate the affairs of the United States of America. Yet he is launching us upon a program of regulating the affairs of the Western Hemisphere – including England, France, Spain, Portugal and Africa.

God help those countries if Mr. Roosevelt is ever successful!

Their populations may also be forced to live on a nickel a meal!

There's One More Week to Double Your Prize in the Big New 'America First' Competition